Submission form – Exploring charging for access to some public conservation land

The Government is seeking feedback on their proposal to charge for access to some public conservation land.

This is the submission template for responding to the discussion document *Exploring charging for access to some public conservation land.*

The Department of Conservation (DOC) seeks your comments by 5pm on Friday 28 February 2025.

Please make your submission as follows:

- 1. Fill out your details under the "Your name and organisation" heading and, if applicable, check the boxes underneath on privacy and confidentiality.
- Fill out your responses to the discussion document questions. Your submission may respond to any or all of the questions. Where possible, please provide relevant facts, figures, data, examples and documents to support your views.
- 3. All submissions are subject to the Official Information Act 1982 and can be released, if requested, under that Act. If you have specific reasons for wanting parts, or all, of your submission withheld, please note these on page 2. DOC will consider them when making any assessment about the release of submissions. Please refer to DOC's privacy statement for further information.¹
- 4. Submit your comments by:
 - a. emailing your submission as a Microsoft Word document or searchable PDF to accesschargingconsultation@doc.govt.nz
 - b. mailing your submission to us at:

Department of Conservation PO Box 10420, Wellington 6143 Attention: Access charging consultation submissions

Please direct any questions that you have in relation to the submissions process to accesschargingconsultation@doc.govt.nz.

To check the boxes in the template, please double click on box, then select 'checked'.



Submission on *Exploring charging for access to some public conservation land*

[To check the boxes above: Double click on box, then select 'checked']

Name			
Organisation			
(if applicable)			
Contact details			
Please tell us	☐ Interested individual		
what kind of submitter you	Local government (or related entity)		
are.	Conservation group		
	☐ Tourism business		
	☐ Iwi, hapū or Māori organization		
	□ Not-for-profit or club		
	☐ Industry association		
	Other		
Release of info			
Please let us know if you would like any part of your submission to be kept confidential.			
☐ I would like to be contacted before the release or use of my submission in the summary of submissions that will be published by DOC after the consultation.			
\Box I would like my name, or any other personal information, to be kept confidential in any summary of submissions or external disclosures.			
	ubmission (or identified parts of my submission) to be kept confidential, and have stated and grounds under the Official Information Act that I believe apply, for consideration by		
l would like my subn	nission (or identified parts of my submission) to be kept confidential because [Insert text]		
My reasons and the	grounds under the Official Information Act that I believe apply are [Insert text]		

Responses to questions

[To check the boxes below: Double click on box, then select 'checked']

Part 3 -	Issues		
1.	Do you agree with the issues and how they have been presented?		
	☐ Strongly agree ☐ Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly disagree ☐ Unsure		
	Add any comments below.		
2.	a. Have any issues been missed?		
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure ☐ No comment		
	Add any comments below.		
	b. Do you have any examples or data that demonstrate your view on the issues?		
	·		
Part 4 – Access charging – part of the solution?			
3.	a. Do you support the Government introducing the ability to charge for access to some parts of public conservation land?		
	☐ Strongly support ☐ Support ☐ Neutral ☐ Oppose ☐ Strongly oppose ☐ Unsure		
	b. Why or why not?		
	c. Could you share any evidence or data that has informed your opinion?		
4.	Are there any international examples available that you think the Government could learn from?		
4.			
4.	from? Yes		
4.	from?		

5.	Do you agree with the assessment of voluntary and concessionaire-based access charge
J.	☐ Strongly agree ☐ Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly disagree ☐Unsu
	Add any comments below.
Part 5	– Who should pay an access charge?
6.	To what extent do you support:
	a. Option A: Charging everyone
	☐ Strongly support ☐ Support ☐ Neutral ☐ Oppose ☐ Strongly oppose ☐ Uns
	Add any comments below.
	b. Option B: Charging everyone but charging New Zealanders less than internation visitors
	☐ Strongly support ☐ Support ☐ Neutral ☐ Oppose ☐ Strongly oppose ☐ Uns
	Add any comments below.
	c. Option C: Charging only international visitors
	☐ Strongly support ☐ Support ☐ Neutral ☐ Oppose ☐ Strongly oppose ☐ Uns
	Add any comments below.
7.	a. Is there anything else the Government should consider when thinking about when should pay an access charge?
	should pay an access charge? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure ☐ No comment
	Add any comments below.
	b. Are there any other groups the Government should consider charging for accessome public conservation land?

	☐ Yes		□No	Unsure	☐ No comment		
	Add any	comments be	elow.				
Part 6 – V	Where s	hould acces	ss charges be us	sed?			
8.	a.	Do you agree		cess charges shoul	d be limited to some areas of		
	□Stron	gly agree	Agree 🗌 Neutra	I ☐ Disagree ☐ S	Strongly disagree Unsure		
	Add any	comments b	elow.				
	b.	If you strongl	y agree or agree, v	vhere should these	places be?		
9.	 a. We have identified the types of places where access charges could be effective, which may include one or more of the following features: Places facing unsustainable pressure from visitors Places popular with international visitors Places with high biodiversity and scenic values Places where user groups are defined Do you agree with the features identified for where access charging could be used? 						
		ngly agree / comments be	-	al 🗌 Disagree 🔲	Strongly disagree ☐Unsure		
	Add any	y comments b	ciow.				
	b.	Are there any	/ additional feature	s we should conside	er?		
	☐ Yes		□ No	Unsure	☐ No comment		
	Add any	comments be	elow.				
10.		re any features ed there?	s of a place that wo	ould mean access c	harging should not be		
	☐ Yes		□No	Unsure	☐ No comment		
	Add any	comments be	elow.				

11.	To what extent do you support the 'parks pass' approach? Strongly support Support Oppose Strongly oppose Unsure Add any comments below.
Part 7 – I	How should the additional revenue be used?
12.	To what extent do you support: a. Option A: More of the money should be invested at the place it is collected in Strongly support Support Neutral Oppose Strongly oppose Unsure Add any comments below. b. Option B: More of the money should be invested within the region it is collected in Strongly support Support Neutral Oppose Strongly oppose Unsure Add any comments below. c. Option C: Invest the money in priority projects across New Zealand, regardless of where it is collected Strongly support Neutral Oppose Strongly oppose Unsure Please add any additional comments below.
	d. Explain why this is your preferred approach or combination of approaches.
13.	Are there any international approaches to spending money from access charging that you think the Government should consider?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure ☐ No comment

	Add any additional comments below as to why this approach should be considered.
Part 8 – \	Norking with Iwi (and Hapū)
14.	How can the Government best meet its Treaty obligations in designing and implementing access charging?
Part 9 – 0	Other design questions
15.	Some groups other than the DOC manage land with conservation values. Do you think these groups should be allowed to charge people to access this land, if it meets certain features in Part 7, to help pay for upkeep and improvements?
	□Strongly agree □ Agree □ Neutral □ Disagree □ Strongly disagree □Unsure
	Add any comments below.