

Characterising surface longline fishing fleet behaviour in relation to leatherback bycatch

Prepared for Department of Conservation, CSP project INT2023-03

July 2024

Prepared by: Matthew R. Dunn; Brittany Finucci; Philip Sutton; Matt H. Pinkerton

For any information regarding this report please contact:

Dr Matthew Dunn Principal Scientist Fisheries Modelling +64-4-386 0805 Matt.Dunn@niwa.co.nz

National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research Ltd (NIWA)

301 Evans Bay Parade Hataitai Wellington 6021 Private Bag 14901 Kilbirnie Wellington 6241

Phone +64 4 386 0300

NIWA CLIENT REPORT No:	2024214WN
Report date:	July 2024
NIWA Project:	INT2023-03

Revision	Description	Date
Version 0.1	Draft in preparation/in review	05 July 2024

Quality Assurance Statement		
	Reviewed by:	Irene Middleton
	Formatting checked by:	Jess Moffat
	Approved for release by:	Alison MacDiarmid

Dunn, M.R., Finucci, B., Sutton, P., Pinkerton, M.H. (2024). Characterising surface longline fishing fleet behaviour in relation to leatherback bycatch. NIWA Client Report 2024214WN. 76 p.

© All rights reserved. This publication may not be reproduced or copied in any form without the permission of the copyright owner(s). Such permission is only to be given in accordance with the terms of the client's contract with NIWA. This copyright extends to all forms of copying and any storage of material in any kind of information retrieval system.

Whilst NIWA has used all reasonable endeavours to ensure that the information contained in this document is accurate, NIWA does not give any express or implied warranty as to the completeness of the information contained herein, or that it will be suitable for any purpose(s) other than those specifically contemplated during the Project or agreed by NIWA and the Client.

Contents

Execu	tive su	ummary	8
1	Intro	duction	9
2	Meth	ods	10
	2.1	Data collation	10
	2.2	Data analyses	14
3	Resul	ts	16
	3.1	Characterisation of the surface longline fishery	16
	3.2	Clustering of the surface longline fishery	34
	3.3	Reapplying the 2021 GAM	55
	3.4	Influence of environmental conditions	57
	3.5	Differences between vessels with reported leatherback captures and those without	64
4	Discu	ssion	68
	4.1	Fishery characterisation	68
	4.2	Leatherback distribution in relation to environmental variables	68
	4.3	Vessels with reported leatherback bycatch and those without	69
	4.4	Other issues	70
5	Recor	nmendations	72
6	Ackno	owledgements	72
7	Refer	ences	73
Table	S		

Tables

Table 2-1:	The variables considered in analyses of leatherback capture probability for the surface longline fishery. Form in generalised additive model (GAM) is either a categorical factor, or as a continuous variable fitted with a cubic spline smoother. AVISO2 data were at a 0.25-degree latitude and longitude	ne Tas
	resolution. The Roemmich and Gilson Argo climatology were at a 1-degree latitude and longitude resolution (Roemmich & Gilson, 2009).	11
Table 3-1:	Fishing effort, as number of events, for surface longline fisheries around New Zealand by fishing year and reported target species. Fishing year shown as	v
	year ending.	16
Table 3-2:	Number of surface longline fishing events by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37° S to 38° S. Dark shading of cells indicates higher values.	ker 17

Table 3-3:	Number of leatherback turtles reported by latitude and month for 2008–20 Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and	23.
Table 3-4:	time of high leatherback reports and includes 63.4% of all reports. Estimated catch (t) of bigeye tuna by surface longline by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37°S to 38°S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates	18 ר ה ה an
	area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3) and includes 24.4 of all bigeye catches.	% 19
Table 3-5:	Estimated catch rate (t per 1000 hooks) of bigeye tuna by surface longline, where the reported target species is bigeye tuna, by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37° S to 38 Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an ar and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3).	° S. ea 20
Table 3-6:	Estimated catch (t) of swordfish by surface longline by latitude and month f 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38° S means >37° S to 38 Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an ar and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3) and includes 17.9% of swordfish catches.	or 3° S. ea all 21
Table 3-7:	Estimated catch rate (t per 1000 hooks) of swordfish by surface longline, where the reported target species is swordfish, by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37° S to 38 Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an ar and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3).	° S. ea 22
Table 3-8:	Estimated catch (t) of southern bluefin tuna by surface longline by latitude month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38° S means > S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3) and includes 0.1% of all southern bluefin tuna catches.	and >37° 24
Table 3-9:	Estimated catch rate (t per 1000 hooks) of southern bluefin tuna by surface longline, where the reported target species is southern bluefin tuna, by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3	38° 3-3). 25
Table 3-10:	Estimated catch (t) of sunfish as a bycatch in surface longline by latitude an month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38° S means > S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3) and includes 12.3% of all sunfish catches.	d 37° 26
Table 3-11:	Number of surface longline fishing events by latitude and fishing year for 2008–2023. Fishing years labelled by year ending. Latitudes are floored, so latitude of 38° S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates hig values.	o a her 27
Table 3-12:	Number of surface longline fishing events by month and fishing year (2008-2023), for the two degrees of latitude 36° S to 38° S (categories labelled 37 38 in the previous tables). Fishing years labelled by year ending. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.	- and 28

Table 3-13:	Number of vessels fishing using surface longlines by month and fishing year (2008–2023), for the two degrees of latitude 36° S to 38° S (categories labelled 37 and 38 in the previous tables). Fishing years labelled by year ending. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. 2	۲ 29
Table 3-14:	Surface longline number of events by reported target species (2008–2023) and cluster, with mean reported fishing depth and SST (interquartile ranges in parentheses). Species only shown if there was more than one record. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.	d 36
Table 3-15:	Surface longline total catch (2008–2023) and catch rate (t/event) by species (species code) and cluster. Species were included only where catches in at least one cluster were >5t. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.	37
Table 3-16:	Surface longline number of fishing events by month (2008–2023) and cluster.Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.3	38
Table 3-17:	Surface longline number of fishing events by fishing year (year ending) and cluster. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.	39
Table 3-18:	Surface longline captures of marine reptiles (2008–2023) by species (or species group) and average leatherback (LBT) catch rate (2008–2023) by cluster. The code TLE is a turtle of unknown species. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.	:s 39
Table 3-19:	Surface longline number of events by reported target species (2008–2023) and cluster, with reported fishing depth and SST (interquartile ranges in parentheses). Species only shown if there was more than one record. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.	d 15
Table 3-20:	Surface longline total catch (2008–2023) and catch rate (t/event) by species (species code) for clusters. Species included only where catches in at least on cluster were >5t. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. For species names see Table 3-14.	e 16
Table 3-21:	Surface longline number of fishing events by month (2008–2023) and cluster. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.	¥7
Table 3-22:	Surface longline number of fishing events by fishing year (year ending) and cluster. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. 4	1 7
Table 3-23:	Surface longline captures of marine reptiles (2008–2023) by species (or species group) and average leatherback catch rate (2008–2023) by cluster. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.	:s 18
Table 3-24:	Distribution of fishing effort (events) between clusters for vessels that reported at least one leatherback capture (total n = 235). Darker shading of cells indicates higher effort values. All effort recorded for each vessel is included (not just those which caught a turtle). The last row includes all vessel that never reported a leatherback (LBT) capture.	ls 18

Figures

Figure 3-1:	The 200 m isobath (black line) and the location of axis positions	
	roughly following the 200 m isobath (red points) around the east	
	coast of the North Island.	30
Figure 3-2:	The relative distribution of surface longline fishing events as allocated to the nearest axis position (see Figure 3-1), by month, summed for	
	all fishing years (2008–2023).	31

Figure 3-3:	The relative distribution of surface longline fishing events by fishing year (2008–2023) as allocated to the nearest axis position (see Figure 3-1).	32
Figure 3-4:	Average silhouette (quality of clustering) by number of assumed clusters. The vertical broken line indicates the optimal number of clusters	2/1
Figuro 2 Et	Dringingl components scatter plot with coloured ellipses indicating	54
rigule 5-5.	the location of clusters, plotted for the first two principal dimensions.	35
Figure 3-6:	Cluster 1; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending).	40
Figure 3-7:	Cluster 2; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending).	41
Figure 3-8:	Cluster 3; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude	42
Figure 3-9:	Principal components scatter plot with coloured ellipses indicating the location of clusters, plotted for the first two principal dimensions,	43
Figure 3-10:	Cluster 1; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending)	49
Figure 3-11:	Cluster 2; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending).	50
Figure 3-12:	Cluster 3; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending).	51
Figure 3-13:	Cluster 4; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending).	52
Figure 3-14:	Cluster 5; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending).	53
Figure 3-15:	Cluster 6; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending).	54
Figure 3-16:	Leatherback turtle predicted probability of capture from the generalised additive model (GAM) predictors: Left panels, the predicted coefficient effect (with 95% confidence interval) estimated with other coefficients fixed at their median values; Middle panels, the influence of each term on the estimate by fishing year; Right panels, the distribution of each variable by fishing year (box plot showing median as the solid bar, interguartile range as the box, with whiskers extending to the 5%	
	and 95% intervals).	55
Figure 3-17:	Left panel, the observed number of leatherback turtle reported captures versus the generalised additive model (GAM) predicted probability of leatherback turtle capture by fishing year using data to 2021; Right panel, the GAM expected median probability of capture (black line and points) and the observed reported number of captures (red line and points) by fishing year (scaled to have the same mean).	56
Figure 3-18:	Left panel, observed number of leatherback turtle reported captures in FMA 1 & 2 versus the generalised additive model (GAM) predicted probability of leatherback turtle capture by fishing year; and right panel, GAM expected median probability of capture (black line) and the observed reported number of captures (red line) by fishing year (scaled to have the same mean).	58

Figure 3-19:	Leatherback turtle predicted probability of capture in FMA 1 & 2 from the generalised additive model (GAM) predictors: left panels, the predicted coefficient effect (with 95% confidence interval) estimated with other coefficients fixed at their median values; centre panels, the influence of each term on the estimate by fishing year; right panels, the distribution of each variable by fishing year (box plot showing median as the solid bar, interquartile range as the box, with whiskers extending to the 5% and 95% intervals).	59
Figure 3-20:	Probability of leatherback capture in surface longlines for commercial fishing records 2008 to 2023 in FMAs 1&2, using A, the GAM developed in 2024 (Section 3.4.1), and B, the GAM developed in 2021 (Section 3.3).	60
Figure 3-21:	Distribution of fisher-reported and observer-reported leatherback turtle captures from 2008 to 2021 (red dots, n=217).	61
Figure 3-22:	Leatherback turtle (LBT), swordfish (SWO), bigeye tuna (BIG), sunfish (SUN), and blue shark (BWS) predicted probability of capture (leatherbacks) or catch rates (fishes) in FMA 1 & 2 from a binomial generalised additive model (GAM) for leatherbacks, and delta-lognormal GAM for fishes, for the same variables as selected for the leatherback GAM.	63
Figure 3-23:	Frequency of surface longline fishing events in FMAs 1 & 2 by reported fishing effort variables for all years combined (2008–2023).	65
Figure 3-24:	Distribution of surface longline fishing effort (events) for all years combined (2008–2023), by 0.2° latitude and longitude cells.	66
Figure 3-25:	Frequency of surface longline fishing events in FMAs 1 & 2 for Cluster 1 (see Section 3.2.1) by reported fishing effort variables for all years combined (2008–2023).	67
Figure 4-1:	Distribution of surface longline fishing effort (events) for all years combined (2008–2023), by 0.2° latitude and longitude cells.	71

Executive summary

Leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) are the most frequently reported turtle bycatch in New Zealand commercial surface longline fisheries. Leatherback turtles are protected under the Wildlife Act 1953. This project updated the fishery captures of leatherbacks in New Zealand waters up to, and including, the 2023 fishing year and attempted to identify any temporal changes in fishing practices and/or catch composition associated with changes in leatherback bycatch.

Most surface longline fishing effort targeted southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyi*), followed by bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), and swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*). The surface longline fishery started in northern waters around October and moved south as the season progressed and waters warmed, returning to more northern waters as winter approached. In summer months, the southern bluefin tuna fishery extended to the southeast of the South Island, while the swordfish fishery occurred on the southeast North Island and west coast South Island. Bigeye tuna catches were centered further north, rarely extending beyond the Bay of Plenty and East Cape.

Leatherback captures have been centred in the eastern Bay of Plenty. The leatherback spatial hotspot and season (the 'hotspot') occurred between latitudes of 36° S and 38°S from January to April. Bigeye tuna, and then swordfish fishing, had the greatest spatio-temporal overlap with the leatherback hotspot. The southern bluefin tuna fishery had very little overlap. About 75% of the bigeye tuna catch, 80% of the swordfish catch, and almost all the southern bluefin tuna catch, ware taken outside of the leatherback hotspot. The greatest leatherback captures were reported from 2021 when fishing effort was relatively low in 2021, but more focused on the east coast North Island than usual.

The fisheries characterisation indicated the strongest interaction was between leatherbacks and the fishery targeting bigeye tuna. The fisheries analyses based on clustering of catch compositions produced a different result, with the strongest association being between leatherbacks and swordfish. This difference was because the reported target species did not always accurately describe the catch composition. The catch composition analyses did not isolate the Bay of Plenty region as a specific fishery subunit.

A Generalised Additive Model (GAM) developed to investigate the potential reasons for a peak in leatherback captures in 2021 was re-run for the east coast North Island only, and then informed with new environmental data for 2022 and 2023 to provide projected leatherback captures for 2022 and 2023. The projected captures were close to reported captures, although also similar to the time series average. An updated GAM chose a different set of predictor variables and predicted a slightly different temporal trend. Further GAMs predicting leatherback occurrence and fish catch rates from environmental conditions found the closest association was between leatherbacks and swordfish. The environmental variables used were proximity to sea surface temperature (SST) gradients (fronts), mixed-layer depth, water depth, sea surface irradiance, and strength of the west to east current. A close association between swordfish catch rates and leatherback captures is consistent with international leatherback capture mitigation focusing on swordfish target fisheries. However, this association has generally identified depth fished rather than species environmental preferences as the main driver.

Comparison of vessels reporting and not reporting leatherbacks was made and found the greatest difference was in location fished. Overall, fishing location was the most persistent and important factor determining the likelihood of a vessel capturing a leatherback.

1 Introduction

Five species of sea turtle are recorded from New Zealand waters, and all are protected under the Wildlife Act 1953. All sea turtles are listed on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species as threatened species (Critically Endangered, Endangered, or Vulnerable) (IUCN 2024). Leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) and green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) have been the marine reptiles most frequently reported as bycatch in New Zealand commercial fisheries, likely reflecting a higher occurrence in New Zealand waters (Godoy 2016, Dunn et al. 2022). Turtle conservation has been successful in arresting population declines for many turtle populations globally, but the western Pacific leatherback population remains one of the few cases were there has been a steep and continued decline (Hays et al. 2024).

Most leatherbacks occurring in New Zealand waters probably originate from the western Pacific leatherback population. These leatherbacks breed on beaches in the southwest Pacific, including the Solomon Islands, and winter-nesting turtles in Indonesia (Benson et al. 2011, Pete Waldie, TNC, unpublished data). The total regional population is poorly estimated but is likely to be just over 1000 females nesting annually and has been declining at an estimated rate of 6% per year (Benson et al. 2020, Martin et al. 2020). The main anthropogenic threat to leatherback? turtles in the New Zealand region is commercial fishing, with most reported interactions being with surface longline (SLL) fisheries off the northeast North Island during summer-early autumn (Dunn et al. 2022). Despite a reduction in the size of the domestic SLL fleet, reported and estimated interactions with leatherbacks increased after 2014, with a peak of 50 reported captures in the 2021 fishing year (Dunn et al. 2022, Dunn et al. 2023).

Leatherback probability of capture was found to increase with increasing SST from a constant-overtime spatial pattern of monthly SST (Dunn et al. 2023). This finding indicates that the increase in leatherback bycatch could be explained by the SLL fleet moving into warmer areas where the probability of leatherback capture was higher. Capture mitigation measures might therefore include restricting fishing in leatherback 'hotspot' times and areas. However, the implementation of any closed areas would likely not be straightforward, because there could be costs and unintended consequences of closures that ultimately hinder conservation efforts (Dunn et al. 2023).

This project builds upon CSP project INT2021-03 (Review of commercial fishing interactions with marine reptiles) and subsequent research by NIWA (Dunn et al. 2023). This project was designed to consider the relationship between leatherback turtles, fisher behaviour, and fish species caught in the SLL fisheries and help inform the development of mitigation measures for this fishery. The specific objectives of the project were:

- Describe the temporal patterns in the distribution of SLL fishing effort by target species and leatherback bycatch off the North Island east coast (FMA1, FMA2);
- Evaluate the spatial and temporal patterns of SLL fishing effort by target species relative to biological and environmental predictors of leatherback bycatch;
- Evaluate the SLL catch in the region by species and weight for vessels reporting interactions with leatherbacks and those not reporting any leatherback interactions;
- Identify any temporal changes in fishing practices and/or catch composition associated with changes in leatherback bycatch.

2 Methods

2.1 Data collation

2.1.1 Observer data

The Centralised Observer Database (COD) contains data collected by observers on fishing vessels and is managed by NIWA for Fisheries New Zealand (FNZ). The database was searched for all records containing the three-letter species codes for leatherbacks and associated fishing event data up to the end of 30 September 2023.

These records are hereafter referred to as 'observed' leatherback records and sets. The Observer Programme also provided photographs and diary notes taken by observers. All observer records were checked ('groomed') by hand, evaluating each record for plausibility by comparing the consistency of data provided for that event (e.g., location consistent with depth), and consistency with adjacent records (usually having no protected species catch). Photographs taken by the observers were used to evaluate record accuracy, including species identification and condition.

2.1.2 Commercial data

The Enterprise Data Warehouse database (previously *Warehou*) managed by FNZ contains surface longline catch and effort data received from commercial fishers. The database was searched for all leatherback records up to the end of 30 September 2023. Associated data extracted included date, latitude, longitude, Fisheries Management Area (FMA), Fisheries General Statistical Area, fishing method, target species, fishing depth, fishing duration, and total hook count. Gear location was taken as the reported start location. The same data fields were extracted for all fishing events, regardless of whether a leatherback was caught, to allow comparison between events that caught leatherbacks and events that did not. These records are hereafter referred to as 'reported' leatherback records and sets. Since late 2008, fishers have been reporting protected species captures mainly on Non-Fish Protected Species Catch Returns (NFPS), with the first marine reptile record being dated 18 February 2009. Commercial fishery catch and effort data were groomed to replace obviously incorrect or missing values following the same procedure reported in Dunn et al. (2022).

2.1.3 Combined leatherback capture data set

The commercial and observer reports of leatherback interactions were combined to provide a single groomed data set containing all records, with any duplication between the commercial fisher and observer data removed. Where unique capture records were reported by both the commercial fisher and the observer, primacy was given to the observer data. Fishing year was referred to as the year-ending, e.g., 2011 for the 1 October 2010 to 30 September 2011 fishing year.

2.1.4 Environmental data

Environmental data were collated and allocated by nearest date and location to the catch and effort data. The variables followed Dunn et al. (2023), with the addition of moon phase (illumination) and further temperature gradient and current variables (Table 2-1).

Table 2-1:The variables considered in analyses of leatherback capture probability for the surface longlinefishery.Form in generalised additive model (GAM) is either as a categorical factor, or as a continuousvariable fitted with a cubic spline smoother.AVISO2 data were at a 0.25-degree latitude and longituderesolution.The Roemmich and Gilson Argo climatology were at a 1-degree latitude and longitude resolution(Roemmich & Gilson, 2009).

Variable label	Source	Description
chla	Chlorophyll-a concentration between 1997–2021 (monthly, 9 km) produced by blending SeaWiFS (NASA, 2018a) and MODIS-Aqua (NASA, 2018b) observations using the overlap period (Pinkerton et al. 2021, Gall et al. 2022).	Open ocean chlorophyll-a (mg/m ³). This will be dubious close to the shore (within ~5 km). Correlation with kd490.
SST OI v2.1	Optimal-interpolation ocean product (OI-SST v2.1; Reynolds et al. 2007, Huang et al. 2021) at 0.25°lat x 0.25°lon, monthly	SST (°C)
SST-monthly	MODIS-Aqua default sst product at 4 km (NASA OBPG 2020, Kilpatrick et al. 2015, 2019)	SST (°C)
SST-climatology	See Gall et al. (2022)	Monthly climatological SST (i.e., average January, February etc) (°C)
SST-anomaly	See Gall et al. (2022)	Monthly anomaly in SST (i.e., difference between SST-monthly and SST4-climatology) (°C)
kd490	Open-ocean diffuse downwelling irradiance attenuation at 490 nm (Kd490) product from MODIS-Aqua and SeaWiFS (Clark 1997) (9km, monthly) blended as Pinkerton et al. (2021), Gall et al. (2022)	Diffuse attenuation at 490 nm (proxy for the reciprocal of water clarity) (m ⁻¹). Excluded from final models as no data for 2021.
par	Open-ocean PAR product (9km, monthly) from MODIS-Aqua and SeaWiFS (Frouin & Pinker 1995, Frouin et al. 2012) blended as Pinkerton et al. (2021), Gall et al. (2022)	Average daily incident irradiance at sea surface (Einstein/m ² /d). Correlated with temp.
vgpm	Vertically Generalised Production Model (VGPM, Behrenfeld & Falkowski 1997) based on MODIS- Aqua and SeaWiFS (sourced from Oregon State University ¹ , 9km, monthly) and blended as Pinkerton et al. (2021).	Model of primary production (mgC/m ² /d). Chla preferred in final models. Correlated with eppley and mld.

Variable label	Source	Description
café	Carbon, Absorption, and Fluorescence Euphotic-resolving model (CAFE, Silsbe et al. 2016). based on MODIS-Aqua and SeaWiFS (sourced from Oregon State University ¹ , (9km, monthly) and blended as Pinkerton et al. (2021).	Models of primary production (mgC/m ² /d). Chla preferred in final models
cbpm	Carbon Based Production Model (CBPM, Behrenfeld et al. 2005, Westberry et al. 2008) based on MODIS-Aqua and SeaWiFS (sourced from Oregon State University ¹ , 9km, monthly) and blended as Pinkerton et al. (2021).	Models of primary production (mgC/m ² /d). Chla preferred in final models
eppley	Eppley-modified VGPM (Eppley 1972, Behrenfeld & Falkowski 1997) based on MODIS-Aqua and SeaWiFS (sourced from Oregon State University ¹ , 9km, monthly) and blended as Pinkerton et al. (2021).	Models of primary production (mgC/m ² /d). Chla preferred in final models
SSTgrad_OISST	Magnitude of the 2-dimensional spatial gradient of OI-SST v2.1 (Reynolds et al. 2007, Huang et al. 2021)	Spatial gradient in SST as indicative of fronts.
SSTgrad	Derived from MODIS-Aqua default sst product at 4 km	Spatial gradient in SST as indicative of fronts.
mld0p030	Depth at which there is potential density difference of 0.030 kg m ⁻³ from the surface. Based on GLBu0.08 hindcast results (using hycom, fnmoc, soda, tops: Metzger et al. 2007, Chassignet et al. 2007, Wallcraft et al. 2009) sourced from Oregon State University ocean productivity (9km, monthly)	Mixed layer depth (m) on two different criteria for changes in potential density (0.03 kg/m ³). Correlated with other mld, and vgpm.
mld0p125	As for mld0p030 but with potential density difference of 0.125 kg/m ³ from the surface (9km, monthly)	Mixed layer depth (m) on two different criteria for changes in potential density (0.125 kg/m ³). Correlated with other mld, and vgpm.
temp100m	Roemmich and Gilson Argo climatology	Temperature at 100m. Correlated with other temp.
temp200m	Roemmich and Gilson Argo climatology	Temperature at 200m. Correlated with other temp.
TimeVaryingEastwardCurrents	AVISO	Zonal current (u) (time-varying eastward currents). Positive values indicate stronger to the east.

Variable label	Source	Description
TimeVaryingNorthwardCurrents	AVISO	Meridional current (v) (time varying northward currents). Positive values indicate stronger to the north.
EKE	AVISO	Eddy Kinetic Energy, calculated as 0.5(u ² + v ²)
Umean_geo	AVISO	Mean u (mean eastward current); variable over space but not time
Vmean_geo	AVISO	Mean v (mean northward current); variable over space but not time
Seabed depth	NIWA	NIWA New Zealand bathymetry updated to 250 m resolution, 2016, based on Mitchell et al. (2012)
Seabed depth	ΝΟΑΑ	Smith and Sandwell 8.2 NOAA global bathymetry dataset
Hook number	Ministry for Primary Industries	Reported number of hooks per set
Vessel length	Ministry for Primary Industries	Registered total vessel length (m)
Vessel power	Ministry for Primary Industries	Registered vessel power (kW)
Esun	Cosine of solar zenith angle based on Kirk (1994) and corrected for nautical twilight (solar elevation >12° below horizon).	Intensity of incident irradiance on the sea-surface in the absence of clouds (zero at night, 1 if sun is directly overhead) [note: in relative units and not scaled by mean extraterrestrial solar irradiance]
Eprop	Esun as proportion of maximum daily Esun (day) and as proportion of minimum absolute daily Esun (night)	Proportion of maximum daily incident irradiance (1=solar midday; 0= dawn/dusk; negative when the sun is below the horizon)
Echange	Rate of change of Esun (h-1)	Rate of change of incident light (no clouds) to differentiate between dawn and dusk
EMoonPhase	Moon phase based on Meeus (1991) implemented in IDL by W. Landsman, September 1997, from the NASA ASTRO library (accessed March 2024)	Illuminated fraction of Moon's disk (0.0 < k < 1.0), k = 0 indicates a new moon, k = 1 a full moon
Emoon	Moon position based on Meeus (1991) implemented by W. Landsman, September 1997, from the NASA ASTRO library (accessed March 2024), and assuming moon albedo 0.12	Sea-surface moon irradiance in absence of clouds (same units as Esun)
1. Oregon State University Ocean P http://sites.science.oregonstate.	roductivity project, edu/ocean.productivity/index.php.	

2. AVISO gridded products: <u>http://www.aviso.oceanobs.com/en/data/products/sea-surface-height-products/global/index.htm</u>

3. NOAA bathymetry: <u>https://ferret.pmel.noaa.gov/LAS_docFiles/smith_sandwell_v8_2.html</u>.

2.2 Data analyses

2.2.1 Fishery characterisation

The fishery characterisation is important as it sets the resolution at which the overlap between the fishery and leatherbacks is evaluated (leatherback hotspot area). The characterisation for the commercial catch and effort data was completed using two different methods:

- 1. Using the fishery descriptors as recorded in the event-by-event catch and effort data, such a start latitude, month, target species, and vessel records of SST. This is a more detailed version of the characterisation by Dunn et al. (2022).
- 2. Clustering events using the fishers' reported species catch composition. This identification of fishery subunits that share a common exploitation pattern (often called "métiers") is a relatively new method to New Zealand but often completed overseas (e.g., Cubillos et al. 2022). The advantage of this analytical method is that it may identify fishing patterns that fishers did not describe or were not aware of (e.g., because they did not do it themselves). For example, if the fisheries characterisation was completed only at the level of "swordfish target", then a temporal pattern where the swordfish targeted fishery only overlapped with leatherbacks in the height of summer (when leatherback captures most frequently occurred) would be identified, but any temporal pattern beyond this period may be missed.

The clustering was done using CLARA (Clustering LARge Applications), which is an extension of PAM (Partition Around Medioids) specifically designed to efficiently handle large datasets (Kassambara 2017). CLARA works by selecting a random sample of the data, applying PAM to the sample, and repeating this process multiple times retaining the sub-set for which the mean (or sum) is minimal. The optimal number of clusters k is selected based the minimum dissimilarity measure, using the average silhouette method over a range of possible values for k (Kaufman and Rousseeuw 2009). A "large" dataset is considered to have more than a few thousand records; here we clustered catch weights for 40 species and 37 657 events. Leatherback captures were not included in the catch weights (i.e., clustering procedure), so they did not influence the identification of fisheries.

The location of the highest probability of capturing leatherbacks, or the 'hotspot', was evaluated using cluster analysis using rpart in R. The model predicted leatherback capture using all surface longline fishing records 2007–08 to 2022–23 and in FMA 1 and FMA 2, and was offered the variables latitude, longitude, day of year, month, and seabed depth. Splitting was done using the Poisson method with 10-fold cross validation, with a requirement for at least 20 observations in any terminal group (which was greatly exceeded), and complexity parameter (cp) of 0.008.

2.2.2 Analyses of leatherback turtle and fish captures and environmental conditions

The identification of environmental variables best predicting leatherback captures were investigated following Dunn et al. (2023). The dataset consisting of surface longline catch and effort records over the fishing years 2008 to 2023. All fishing events sampled by Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) observers, in addition to commercial records for eight specific vessels were included in the dataset. The commercial records for the eight vessels were included because they had reported at least one leatherback capture in every year fished. The collated environmental variables are summarised in Table 2-1. A dataset of 8618 surface longline fishing events (mean=539 events per year⁻¹, range=389–706 events per year⁻¹), and 183 leatherback interactions in 156 longline fishing events between 2008 and 2023 were used.

Leatherback captures were modelled using a binomial (occurrence only) generalised additive model (GAM). We used the restricted maximum likelihood method (REML) for automated selection of smoothing parameters to select a 'base' model using the *mgcv* library (Wood 2017) in R (R Core Team 2022). The *gam.check* function was used to assess model fit by visually evaluating residual plots and running diagnostic tests to ensure adequate basis dimensions for each smooth. We then checked for competing models that might describe the data equally well by manually adding and/or replacing correlated or related variables in the base model. We considered the model to show no improvement if REML improved by less than 1, additional deviance explained <0.5%, and the additional term had a *p*-value >0.001; these criteria favour a parsimonious rather than complex model.

The predicted effect of each variable was plotted over the observed range with all other variables fixed at their median values. The influence of each variable on the year trend was shown as the mean predicted value from that variable for each year divided by the overall mean predicted for that variable (Bentley et al. 2012).

We repeated the GAM for important target species identified from the fishery characterisation, along with sunfish (*Mola mola*) as a potential proxy of leatherback presence (Mosnier et al. 2019), and blue shark (*Prionace glauca*) as the most frequently reported bycatch species.

The GAM analyses by Dunn et al. (2023) were conducted to evaluate possible reasons for the 2021 peak in leatherback captures, but also allowed past temporal trends in leatherback captures to be predicted. We used the Dunn et al. (2023) model, fitted to the east coast North Island region only (FMAs 1 and 2) and using data 2008 to 2021, to test how well the model predicted the captures observed for 2022 and 2023. This was a test (albeit limited) of the predictive ability and assumptions of the Dunn et al. (2023) model.

2.2.3 Differences between vessels reporting and not reporting leatherbacks

It is a legal requirement in New Zaland for fishers to report bycatch of turtles, but it is not illegal to accidently catch them. Because only a small proportion of the surface longline fishing fleet reported almost all of the leatherback captures, with one vessel accounting for 40.4% of all captures, Dunn et al. (2023) suspected non-reporting of leatherbacks by commercial fishers might have taken place. Currently, bycatch mitigation efforts in the surface longline fisheries has focused primarily on seabird interactions, and it is possible leatherback captures were not given the same attention. Observer coverage of the east coast North Island surface longline fisheries has been historically low and at the wrong time of year to observer turtle captures (Godoy 2016; Dunn et al., 2023).

A simple comparison was made for the vessels that regularly reported leatherback bycatch (at least 10 across all years, 2008 to 2023), and those vessels that have never reported bycatch. The leatherback capture rate by vessels having both observed and unobserved trips in the leatherback bycatch hotspot area and season (defined in Section 2.2.1) were also compared. A commercial record was considered "observed" if there was a record for that vessel on that day in the observer dataset.

This analysis should be treated with some caution because there is no explicit link between the observer and commercial catch and effort databases. For example, records were found in the observer data that could not be linked to any record in the commercial data, even after widening the date selection.

3 Results

3.1 Characterisation of the surface longline fishery

The surface longline fisheries were dominated by three reported target species – southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*), bigeye tuna (*T. obesus*), and swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) (Table 3-1). Generally, the fishery has started in northern waters in October and extended south as the season progressed, peaking in southern areas between February and May, and then returned the more northern waters as winter approached (Table 3-2). Leatherback captures have been centred predominantly at latitudes of 36° to 38° S during January to April. We consider this the leatherback bycatch 'hotspot'. Captures have not occurred in the southern fished (>45° S) regions (Table 3-3).

Table 3-1:	Fishing effort, as number of	events, for surface longline fisheries around New Zealand by
fishing year a	and reported target species.	Fishing year shown as year ending.

Fishing year	Albacore	Bigeye	Southern	Swordfish	Pacific	Yellowfin	Other
2008	2	1 050	725	131	25	7	19
2009	11	1 634	922	45	14	1	5
2010	25	1 362	1 279	147	22	1	4
2011	15	1 692	1 001	185	9	0	10
2012	0	1 346	1 229	195	13	0	0
2013	8	1 027	1 259	319	33	0	4
2014	4	852	1 239	212	15	0	0
2015	0	467	1 214	540	12	0	0
2016	23	759	1 376	520	25	0	3
2017	4	587	1 362	447	21	0	0
2018	0	706	1 405	474	23	3	0
2019	4	500	1 542	197	29	1	0
2020	7	465	1 535	198	43	0	1
2021	5	409	1 085	339	9	4	1
2022	41	233	1 007	143	0	0	1
2023	0	474	1 087	211	10	2	5

The closest correspondence between the leatherback bycatch hotspot and fishery catches is fisheries that reported targeting bigeye tuna (Table 3-14). This fishery started in more northern waters in spring (September), extending south to the southeast North Island coast in summer (January/February) but with the peak remaining at about 37°–38° S, and retreated northwards again as winter (~June) approached. The catch rates for bigeye tended to be similar throughout the season (Table 3-5). 24.4% of the bigeye tuna catch has been taken in the leatherback hotspot region and season.

The fishery for swordfish follows a similar pattern to bigeye but extended further south and later into the year (Table 3-6), with relatively high catch rates around 37°–38° S from January to April, extending south to 38°–39° S from March until June (Table 3-7). The extension south resulted in a reduced overlap with leatherbacks, with 17.9% of swordfish catch in the leatherback hotspot area and season.

									Lat	itude (°	S)									
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10												3	15	21	100	164	35	33	16	9
11	3	12		3	2		1					43	68	177	513	133	21	7	1	
12	1	10	33	7	2		3		2	3	17	332	396	264	219	48	14	1		
1		22	11	19	NA	1	4	8	55	121	142	745	385	258	322	41	15			
2	11	64	151	58	1	10	38	22	170	245	360	617	341	303	375	100	15			
3	2	100	202	193	16	75	311	254	182	385	776	632	366	331	450	251				
4		9	142	412	70	209	517	193	155	392	461	542	354	345	397	281	9			
5			3	466	475	364	738	271	333	875	696	509	201	216	246	205	49	3	1	
6				9	102	232	930	370	111	777	903	1 017	534	104	186	163	35	4		
7						6	554	384	57	55	270	888	1 330	474	301	379	135	14	1	3
8						4	178	327	26	5	18	324	737	524	662	518	265	106	3	
9							2	11	3	7	7	31	95	118	363	280	144	70	11	5

 Table 3-2:
 Number of surface longline fishing events by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37° S to 38° S.

 Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.

									Lat	itude (°	S)									
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10												0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0		0	0		0					1	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	
12	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0	3	2	2	1	0	0	0		
1		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	1	0	31	9	1	3	0	0			
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	21	14	4	1	0	0			
3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	34	9	7	0	0				
4		0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	20	11	2	2	1	0			
5			0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	4	2	4	1	2	1	0	0	
6				0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0		
7						0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
8						0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
9							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

 Table 3-3:
 Number of leatherback turtles reported by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37°S to 38°S.

 Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports and includes 63.4% of all reports.

Table 3-4: Estimated catch (t) of bigeye tuna by surface longline by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3) and includes 24.4% of all bigeye catches.

									La	atitude				7						
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10												0	1.1	1.5	12.2	17.1	5.2	4.9	2.0	1.2
11	0	0		0	0		0					6.1	3.7	20.8	76.5	13.2	1.4	0.1	0	
12	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0.4	45.2	34.7	24.1	22.6	4.1	0.1	0.1		
1		0	0	0		0	0	0	17.2	15.8	15.1	70.3	33.0	25.8	32.7	2.4	0.2			
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	6.2	9.9	18.1	63.6	39.7	36.5	47.3	15.0	0.4			
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	2.9	22.4	43.2	76.6	33.9	44.7	69.6	34.2				
4		0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	2.3	19.1	30.9	57.7	26.0	25.7	50.6	35.1	0.1			
5			0	0	0	0	0.3	0.1	2.1	12.7	13.2	24.6	7.2	10.2	14.8	14.5	0.8	0.1	0	
6				0	0	0	0	0	0.2	1.8	2.0	16.5	9.6	4.5	15.3	25.6	6.6	0		
7						0	0	0	0	0	0.7	5.1	9.5	11.4	19.1	47.4	17.8	0.9	0.3	0.2
8						0	0	0	0	0	0	1.7	13.4	18.3	40.1	35.6	27.6	12.4	0.5	
9							0	0	0	0	0	0.3	5.8	13.9	39.9	17.7	11.7	9.3	2.8	1.8

Table 3-5:Estimated catch rate (t per 1000 hooks) of bigeye tuna by surface longline, where the reported target species is bigeye tuna, by latitude and month for2008–2023.Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area andtime of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3).

									La	atitude										
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10													0		0	0.04	0	0.1		
11														0		0	0.03	0	0	
12											0	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.05	0			
1								0	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.12	0.16	0.05	0			
2						0	0	0	0	0.01	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.22	0.1			
3						0	0	0	0	0.02	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.13				
4						0	0	0	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.13	0.06	0.01			
5						0	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.03		
6						0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	0	0.04	0.05	0.11	0.05	0		
7							0	0			0	0.02	0	0.05	0.03	0.12	0.07	0.03	0.25	0.08
8							0	0	0					0.09	0.04	0.02	0.03	0	0.15	
9														0	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.2	0.22	0.11

Table 3-6: Estimated catch (t) of swordfish by surface longline by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38° S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3) and includes 17.9% of all swordfish catches.

										Latitude										
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10												0	0.3	0.2	6.0	11.3	3.4	3.9	3.8	2.1
11	0	0		0	0		0					1.7	2.0	11.7	25.8	9.6	2.0	1.5	0.5	
12	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0.5	31.6	45.6	33.4	14.4	8.3	7.3	0.8		
1		0	0	0		0.3	0.2	0.3	7.5	12	14.0	193.0	97.7	77.4	71.8	7.5	8.6			
2	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	10.6	5.9	50.2	89.9	86.5	182.0	104.0	111.0	105.0	24.0	5.1			
3	0	0	0	0	1.0	14.2	113.0	130.0	76.5	122.0	262.0	214.0	137.0	109.0	102.0	95.3				
4		0	0	0.4	4.3	33.0	115.0	56.7	45.7	109.0	144.0	159.0	93.9	135.0	94.1	94.8	8.2			
5			0	2.1	7.3	29.2	117.0	83.6	42.3	137.0	191.0	155.0	69.7	83.2	62.4	63.3	30.9	4.4	0.2	
6				0	0.4	13.3	63.0	41.2	13.3	114.0	166.0	122.0	59.1	33.1	63.7	27.5	6.9	0.6		
7						0.3	17.7	19.6	1.4	5.7	36.8	58.9	84.6	61.0	63.0	58.7	26.3	1.8	0.5	1.2
8						0	2.8	7.4	1.1	0.4	1.7	13	23.9	55.2	84.8	54.9	32.2	18.7	1.3	
9							0	0	0	0	0	2	2.9	3.0	19.8	20.7	17.5	10.0	4.1	1.0

Table 3-7:	Estimated catch rate (t per 1000 hooks) of swordfish by surface longline, where the reported target species is swordfish, by latitude and month for
2008–2023.	Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38°S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and
time of high	leatherback reports (see Table 3-3).

									L	atitude										
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10													0		0	0.24	0	0.15		
11														0.2		0.08	0.21	0.32	0.45	
12											0	0.23	0.25	0.54	0.16	0.45	0.56			
1								0	0.06	0.05	0.17	0.47	0.44	0.49	0.41	0.35	0.68			
2						0.16	0.29	0.31	0.56	0.6	0.41	0.39	0.4	0.6	0.52	0.46	0.52			
3						0.36	0.5	0.59	0.55	0.56	0.69	0.54	0.62	0.39	0.5	0.59				
4						0.02	0.45	0.44	0.46	0.68	0.53	0.63	0.6	0.73	0.56	0.57	1.11			
5						0	0.41	0.62	0.37	0.4	0.59	0.81	0.75	0.78	0.6	0.68	0.81	1.38		
6						0	0.37	0.43	0.33	0.65	0.53	0.63	0.55	0.69	1.03	0.43	0.5	0		
7							0	0.19			0.16	0.26	0.31	0.47	0.96	1.17	1.16	0.22	0.42	0.5
8							0.16	0.21	0.02					0.39	0.7	0.73	0.2	0	0.6	
9														0	0	0.49	0.62	0.2	0.39	0.67

Few southern bluefin were caught (0.1% of catch) in the leatherback bycatch hotspot area, and season (Table 3-8) and catch rates there were very low (Table 3-9). The fishery started in summer (February/March), predominantly off the southeast South Island, with some effort off the west coast South Island. Fishing started to move northwards as autumn approached, with some fishing off the southeast North Island from April, and most fishing effort occurring off the west coast South Island in June. By July, fishing was predominantly off the west coast South Island and northeast North Island. The southern bluefin fishery therefore missed the leatherbacks by being further south during the summer, and only in more northern waters in autumn and winter when leatherback captures (and presumably leatherback occurrence) were relatively rare.

Sunfish are thought to often co-occur with leatherbacks, but sunfish bycatch was more similar to swordfish and extended further south than the leatherback turtle hotspot area and season (Table 3-10). Only 12.3% of the sunfish bycatch was taken in the leatherback bycatch hotspot area and season.

Overall, about 32% of the fishing effort (by number of events) in 2023 took place between 36° S and 38° S (Table 3-11) and of this effort, about 39% took place in January to April 2023 (Table 3-12). This meant about an average of 12% of the overall effort took place in the leatherback hotspot area, ranging from 7–15% and without clear trend over the period 2008–2023. The total fishing effort (by number of events) was lower over the last three years (Table 3-11), although for the hotspot latitude effort in 2021 was slightly above average (Table 3-12). The number of vessels also decreased recently and was lowest during the summer months (Table 3-13).

A spatial axis provided an alternative method of viewing the fishery (Table 3-1–Table 3-3). The seasonal southerly extension of the fishery around the North Island was apparent, and although some fishing remained in the leatherback bycatch hotspot area throughout the year, there have been numerous other fishing locations in each month. The importance of more northerly fishing grounds seemed to have declined a little over time, and effort was most pronounced in the hotspot between 2015 and 2019. When viewed in this way, the peak of leatherback captures in 2021 was not associated with a clear change in spatial distribution of effort around the North Island. However, the percentage of the overall number of fishing events occurring off the North Island was relatively high in 2021 and reached a time-series peak for 37–38°S (at 24% of events; average 15%; see latitude labelled "38" in Table 3-11).

										Latitude										
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10												0	0.5	0.1	1.2	2.4	0.2	1.4	0	0.1
11	0.3	0.5		0.1	0		0					0.3	0.3	1.8	3.1	0.5	0	0	0	
12	0	0.4	1.5	0	0		0		0	0	0	0.5	1.4	0.6	0.5	0	0	0		
1		1.8	0.2	1.8		0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.1	2.4	0.8	0.3	0.7	0	0			
2	2.8	33.4	52.4	13.6	0.1	1.7	3.1	1.3	0.6	2.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.6	0	0			
3	1.5	89.7	142	94.8	12.8	28.0	74.6	54.1	12.4	0.3	0.8	1.7	1.2	1.8	0.5	0.6				
4		7.9	70.7	273	58.9	137.0	242.0	59.2	16.1	11.8	8.3	5.9	1.1	1.0	1.4	0.2	0			
5			1.4	444	470.0	303.0	321.0	62.8	66.5	201.0	134.0	32.0	10.6	3.5	1.8	0.5	0	0	1.1	
6				2.4	86.4	189.0	601.0	210.0	83.2	381.0	372.0	449.0	174.0	10.6	10.4	7.2	0.4	0		
7						3.7	487.0	349.0	53.4	18.5	153.0	602.0	777.0	200.0	111.0	96.0	23.0	0.6	0	0
8						0.8	104.0	205.0	6.9	0.3	2.3	202.0	382.0	160.0	110.0	106.0	56.4	17.0	0	
9							0.5	3.4	0.3	1.9	1.3	9.0	18.0	23.5	32.3	21.4	9.2	1.3	0.1	0.2

Table 3-8:Estimated catch (t) of southern bluefin tuna by surface longline by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38° Smeans >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3) andincludes 0.1% of all southern bluefin tuna catches.

 Table 3-9:
 Estimated catch rate (t per 1000 hooks) of southern bluefin tuna by surface longline, where the reported target species is southern bluefin tuna, by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38° S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3).

									L	atitude				7						
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10												0	0.14	0	0.03	0	NA	0	0	
11	0.1	0.04										0		0	0.01	0	0			
12	0	0.04	0.05	0					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
1		0.08	0.02	0.09			0.05	0.08	0	0	0.01	0.03	0							
2	0.29	0.53	0.36	0.25	0.25	0.36	0.15	0.19	0.03	0	0	0								
3	0.65	0.84	0.75	0.54	0.83	0.38	0.32	0.36	0.28	0	0	0	0	0.36	0	0				
4		0.92	0.53	0.66	0.34	0.67	0.49	0.38	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.03	0	0	0					
5			0.18	0.45	0.37	0.37	0.34	0.25	0.22	0.26	0.27	0.14	0.12	0.02	0.4				1.1	
6				0.29	0.37	0.38	0.45	0.42	0.55	0.54	0.46	0.51	0.38	0.25	0.09					
7						0.8	0.87	0.95	0.91	0.43	0.61	0.76	0.65	0.46	0.57	1.21	0.68			
8						0.62	0.63	0.63	0.3	0.06	0.14	0.73	0.63	0.38	0.28	0.4	0.61	0.57	0	
9							0.31	0.33	0.15	0.28	0.2	0.34	0.2	0.31	0.14	0.2	0.21	0.23		

Table 3-10:	Estimated catch (t) of sunfish as a bycatch in surface longline by latitude and month for 2008–2023. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38° S means
>37° S to 38°	S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values. The blue box indicates an area and time of high leatherback reports (see Table 3-3) and includes 12.3%
of all sunfish	catches.

Latitude																				
Month	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
10												0	1.3	1.2	14	13.5	2.5	2.8	1.3	1.3
11	0	0		0	0		0					0.2	1.2	15.7	80.2	17.4	1.4	0.1	0	
12	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0.2	18.1	26.5	30.0	18.4	1.7	0.7	0		
1		0	0	0		0	0	0	0.3	3.6	6.2	44.0	31.1	17.2	21.5	0.9	0.3			
2	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	2.3	3.4	7.3	22.9	32.5	32.8	21.3	17.0	27.5	5.8	0.2			
3	0	0	0	0	0.3	3.4	18.2	20.2	18.8	64.6	98.2	49.0	27.4	17.2	26.3	9.2				
4		0	0	0	0.6	6.6	16.2	13.5	10.0	74.3	54.8	32.5	29.2	36.9	24.2	10.7	0			
5			0	1.0	2.7	2.4	6.7	3.7	13.9	67.4	43.9	33.1	14.6	34.1	23.2	10.7	1.4	0	0	
6				0	0.3	0.8	4.4	1.5	2.0	20.8	22.4	51.1	25.5	4.5	30.3	16.9	6.0	0		
7						0	0.8	1.2	0.7	0.6	2.1	17.7	43.1	30.1	30.1	53.9	18.7	1.6	0	0
8						0	0.3	0.7	0	0.1	0.4	3.8	26.0	15.2	55.2	72.4	33.2	13.3	0	
9							0	0	0	0	0	0.7	6.3	7.7	27.6	39.1	13.3	7.2	0.2	0

									Lati	tude											
Fishing year	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	Total
2008				69	93	28	33	39	10	105	243	368	226	106	326	242	47	21			1 956
2009				30	87	65	110	78	55	122	315	382	320	260	357	358	57	23	8	4	2 631
2010				49	91	10	165	67	120	304	504	281	395	302	298	192	45	11	4	2	2 840
2011				40	80	29	95	38	101	269	352	343	258	389	472	164	210	65	7		2 912
2012				16	53	78	310	188	96	299	160	227	339	224	320	293	128	46	5	1	2 783
2013			2	13	42	115	332	86	55	223	328	280	286	399	246	190	36	16			2 649
2014				6	21	92	324	188	74	223	170	203	304	308	137	234	29	9			2 322
2015				17	7	66	213	225	141	129	105	298	490	196	205	105	30	6			2 233
2016					5	60	283	198	73	218	280	423	527	189	287	145	13		1		2 702
2017					11	151	287	84	40	242	141	367	324	186	409	135	40	3	1		2 421
2018				48	22	56	162	148	65	194	228	495	368	213	381	185	33	2	4	7	2 611
2019		16	88	154	17	102	207	110	95	144	190	469	290	76	193	76	35	3			2 265
2020	4	62	167	141	26	25	306	92	32	159	228	434	157	79	216	86	17	17	1		2 249
2021	3	3	67	199	1	8	135	104	32	85	178	433	242	86	159	82	5	10			1 832
2022		63	72	175	27	1	224	79	27	76	117	275	136	46	63	40	1	1	1		1 424
2023	10	73	146	210	85	15	90	116	78	73	111	405	160	76	65	36	11	5	1	3	1 769

Table 3-11: Number of surface longline fishing events by latitude and fishing year for 2008–2023. Fishing years labelled by year ending. Latitudes are floored, so a latitude of 38° S means >37° S to 38° S. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.

Month													
Fishing year	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
2008			41	86	93	47	59	58	62	141	6		593
2009		1	51	58	40	29	49	100	249	120	1		698
2010	3	5	68	71	58	50	76	26	111	188	13	1	670
2011		13	66	131	61	54	62	48	79	73	5	1	593
2012		3	31	70	103	100	46	25	71	96	20		565
2013		9	37	74	87	110	26	25	26	159	3	1	557
2014		1	36	66	51	67	51	16	8	148	59	2	505
2015			50	87	71	72	37	55	73	215	117	7	784
2016		5	68	102	81	90	91	41	113	117	197	39	944
2017	7	1	49	38	8	34	71	53	126	169	118	17	691
2018	1	5	47	75	72	86	38	41	173	213	96	12	859
2019		10	66	71	35	61	58	23	166	199	52	13	754
2020	4	11	29	41	47	20	55	68	110	84	108	14	591
2021		16	40	79	64	44	79	46	79	113	112	3	675
2022		7	9	46	43	35	33	7	54	84	84	9	411
2023	2	24	40	32	42	85	60	65	44	94	70	7	565

Table 3-12:Number of surface longline fishing events by month and fishing year (2008–2023), for the two
degrees of latitude 36° S to 38° S (categories labelled 37 and 38 in the previous tables). Fishing years labelled
by year ending. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.

Month												
Fishing year	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2008			6	8	9	9	11	10	18	23	4	
2009		1	8	9	5	6	9	17	22	22	1	
2010	2	3	7	8	10	9	11	8	22	26	6	1
2011		1	12	13	14	11	14	13	15	19	4	1
2012		2	6	9	12	12	9	7	17	17	7	
2013		4	6	9	13	12	9	8	10	22	3	1
2014		1	6	7	5	11	10	5	4	19	14	1
2015			6	7	9	8	7	12	13	19	17	2
2016		2	6	7	10	10	12	6	14	19	20	13
2017	1	1	6	5	4	6	9	8	16	21	16	4
2018	1	1	8	8	11	11	6	12	22	23	17	2
2019		3	10	9	4	6	6	5	21	19	14	2
2020	1	3	6	5	5	4	8	11	14	17	13	4
2021		2	5	9	7	3	11	10	14	13	13	2
2022		2	4	6	5	4	5	3	11	12	10	2
2023	1	2	5	8	6	9	8	7	8	12	9	2

Table 3-13:Number of vessels fishing using surface longlines by month and fishing year (2008–2023), forthe two degrees of latitude 36° S to 38° S (categories labelled 37 and 38 in the previous tables).Fishingyears labelled by year ending.Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.



Figure 3-1: The 200 m isobath (black line) and the location of axis positions roughly following the 200 m isobath (red points) around the east coast of the North Island.



Figure 3-2: The relative distribution of surface longline fishing events as allocated to the nearest axis position (see Figure 3-1), by month, summed for all fishing years (2008–2023) The leatherback bycatch hotspot is indicated by the purple stripe.



Figure 3-3: The relative distribution of surface longline fishing events by fishing year (2008–2023) as allocated to the nearest axis position (see Figure 3-1). The leatherback hotspot is indicated by the purple stripe.

The analysis identified eight terminal groups. The groups were first split by season (split at day of year 117.5). Within 1 January to 28 April, the observations were then split by latitude and longitude, with the highest probability of capture in the coastal waters east of Great Barrier Island and Coromandel (south of 35.725 S and west of 176.333° E; 0.214 leatherbacks per 1000 hooks), with the next highest probability of capture in the southern Bay of Plenty (south of 37.264° S and 176.333–178.503° E; 0.081 leatherbacks per 1000 hooks), followed by the central Bay of Plenty (east of 176.333°E and north of 37.264° S; 0.027 leatherbacks per 1000 hooks), then east of East Cape (37.264–38.325° S and east of 178.503° E; 0.081 leatherbacks per 1000 hooks) (Figure 3-4). The next highest probability of capture was inshore (depth <1615 m) between 29 April and 31 December (0.008 leatherbacks per 1000 hooks; Figure 3-4).



Figure 3-4: Leatherback capture in 0.1° latitude and longitude cells from cluster analyses. Left panel, 29 April to 31 December. Right panel, 1 January to 28 April. Cells are shaded using heat colours to show the probability of leatherback captures (lowest is dark red, highest is bright yellow).

3.2 Clustering of the surface longline fishery

Clustering groups together records (surface longline fishing events) that had a similar composition of catch weight by species. The optimal number of clusters (k) selected by CLARA was three (Figure 3-5). Results are presented for three clusters (Section 3.2.1) and six clusters (Section 3.2.2, as the best option for a more resolved/detailed clustering).



Figure 3-5: Average silhouette (quality of clustering) by number of assumed clusters. The vertical broken line indicates the optimal number of clusters. Three clusters

The overlap between the top three clusters was substantial (Figure 3-6), indicating there was considerable overlap in fishing characteristics across the clusters. The depth distributions of the three clusters were similar (between 510 and 532 m), but the SST in Cluster 3 were the coolest at 15.4°C, compared to 17.1° and 18.7°C (Table 3-14).

Cluster one targeted primarily bigeye tuna, then southern bluefin tuna (Table 3-14), but most catch and the highest catch rate was of swordfish, followed by blue shark, southern bluefin tuna, albacore, and bigeye tuna (Table 3-15). This fishery was more prolonged in duration, effort was centred in March (Table 3-16) and in the warmest water (Table 3-14). Effort declined over time, but this fishery remained the largest (Table 3-17). Cluster 1 was located of the east North Island, notably in the Bay of Plenty (especially in 2021), west coast South Island, and southeast South Island (especially in 2023) (Figure 3-7). Cluster 1 caught the greatest number of leatherbacks (n=212), with 0.82 leatherback per 100 events or 0.90 leatherbacks per 100 000 hooks (Table 3-18).

Cluster 2 occurs later in the year than Cluster 1, with effort peaking in May (Table 3-16) and in slightly warmer SST (Table 3-14). The Cluster 2 fishery targeted southern bluefin tuna and some bigeye tuna (Table 3-14), but caught similar amounts of swordfish as bluefin, relatively little bigeye, and predominantly blue shark (Table 3-15). Effort decreased considerably since 2020 and was almost absent in 2023 (Table 3-17). Cluster 2 was located mostly on the east coast North Island, and primarily on the west coast South Island in more recent years (Figure 3-8). This fishery caught 22

leatherbacks), with 0.37 leatherback per 100 events or 0.34 leatherbacks per 100 000 hooks (Table 3-18)

Cluster 3 targeted (Table 3-14) and caught (Table 3-15) predominantly southern bluefin tuna from autumn into winter (Table 3-16). Effort had been steadily increasing to a peak in 2023 (Table 3-17). Cluster 3 was located predominantly off the east coast North Island and west coast South Island (Figure 3-7). This fishery very rarely caught leatherbacks, with only one leatherback reported, and 0.02 leatherback per 100 events or 0.01 leatherbacks per 100 000 hooks (Table 3-18).



Figure 3-6: Principal components scatter plot with coloured ellipses indicating the location of clusters, plotted for the first two principal dimensions. PCA takes the data set with many variables (here n = 40 species) and reduces them to a smaller set of summary indices (principal components).

Table 3-14:Surface longline number of events by reported target species (2008–2023) and cluster, with
mean reported fishing depth and SST (interquartile ranges in parentheses).Species only shown if there was
more than one record. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.

Target species	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3
Shortsnouted lancetfish (ABR)	1	13	0
Albacore tuna (ALB)	112	0	24
Bigeye tuna (BIG)	11 748	1 670	129
Bluenose (BNS)	4	0	0
Blue shark (BWS)	4	0	0
Kingfish (KIN)	3	0	0
Mako shark (MAK)	4	0	0
Northern bluefin tuna (NTU)	27	0	0
Striped marlin (STM)	2	1	0
Southern bluefin tuna (STN)	10 069	3 589	5 459
Swordfish (SWO)	3 574	663	52
Pacific bluefin tuna (TOR)	248	47	3
Yellowfin tuna (YFN)	16	3	0
Depth (m)	526 (250–718)	510 (185–730)	532 (106–788)
SST (°C)	18.7 (17.0–20.6)	17.1 (15.9–18.3)	15.4 (13.8–16.8)
Table 3-15:	Surface longline total catch (2008–2023) and catch rate (t/event) by species (species code) and		
---------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------		
cluster. Spe	cies were included only where catches in at least one cluster were >5t. Darker shading of cells		
indicates hig	her values.		

		Cluster 1		Cluster 2	_		Cluster 3
Species code	Catch (t)	Catch rate (t/event)	Catch (t)	Catch rate (t/event)		Catch (t)	Catch rate (t/event)
Albacore tuna (ALB)	2 106.6	88.9	542.7	85.0		398.9	53.4
Blue marlin (BEM)	8.3	0.4	1.0	0.2		0.0	0.0
Bigeye tuna (BIG)	1 616.8	68.3	220.9	34.6		43.1	5.8
Bigscale pomfret (BSP)	2.9	0.1	6.5	1.0		12.0	1.6
Butterfly tuna (BTU)	62.3	2.6	35.3	5.5		30.8	4.1
Bronze whaler shark (BWH)	13.7	0.6	13.8	2.2		2.8	0.4
Blue shark (BWS)	3 207.9	135.4	6 910.2	1 081.9		1 253.8	167.8
Smoothskin dogfsh (CYO)	1.8	0.1	3.4	0.5		6.5	0.9
Pelagic stingray (DAS)	24.6	1.0	4.7	0.7		0.4	0.1
Dealfish (DEA)	7.3	0.3	18.0	2.8		44.8	6.0
Dolphinfish (DOF)	22.6	1.0	1.9	0.3		0.0	0.0
Lancetfish (LAT)	169.5	7.2	52.3	8.2		3.1	0.4
Escolar (LEP)	97.9	4.1	22.5	3.5		2.3	0.3
Mako shark (MAK)	703.6	29.7	490.2	76.7		113.6	15.2
Moonfish (MOO)	526.6	22.2	158.4	24.8		66.9	9.0
Oilfish (OFH)	52.2	2.2	21.7	3.4		9.0	1.2
Porae (POR)	13.6	0.6	20.7	3.2		5.7	0.8
Porbeagle shark (POS)	263.7	11.1	288.6	45.2		124.0	16.6
Rays (RAY)	29.2	1.2	6.6	1.0		0.3	0.0
Rays bream (RBM)	60.4	2.5	28.2	4.4		58.9	7.9
Rudderfish (RUD)	16.8	0.7	5.3	0.8		3.5	0.5
Skipjack tuna (SKJ)	5.9	0.2	1.4	0.2		0.4	0.1
Striped marlin (STM)	270.1	11.4	35.3	5.5		2.7	0.4
Southern bluefin tuna (STN)	2 273.4	96.0	1 099.8	172.2		7 203.1	964.2
Sunfish (SUN)	1 471.1	62.1	601.7	94.2		121.1	16.2
Swordfish (SWO)	5 142.4	217.1	1 099.6	172.2		418.3	56.0
Thresher shark (THR)	231.9	9.8	85.1	13.3		20.9	2.8
Pacific bluefin tuna (TOR)	258.5	10.9	42.0	6.6		68.9	9.2
Yellowfin tuna (YFN)	181.2	7.6	16.5	2.6		0.3	0.0

Month	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3
10	318	77	0
11	821	157	0
12	1 146	180	0
1	2 030	113	1
2	2 660	145	63
3	3 930	264	324
4	3 543	440	505
5	3 275	1 330	1 045
6	2 921	1 142	1 406
7	2 344	866	1 583
8	2 031	949	711
9	795	324	29

 Table 3-16:
 Surface longline number of fishing events by month (2008–2023) and cluster. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.

Fishing year	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3
2008	1 509	310	139
2009	2 111	340	179
2010	2 226	422	190
2011	2 237	455	217
2012	1 856	653	272
2013	1 825	538	284
2014	1 397	545	380
2015	1 288	538	407
2016	1 733	568	401
2017	1 544	436	439
2018	1 551	579	476
2019	1 476	363	348
2020	1 633	125	436
2021	1 321	90	418
2022	936	10	478
2023	1 171	15	603

Table 3-17:Surface longline number of fishing events by fishing year (year ending) and cluster. Darkershading of cells indicates higher values.

Table 3-18:	Surface longline captures of marine reptiles (2008–2023) by species (or species group	p) and
average leath	herback (LBT) catch rate (2008–2023) by cluster. The code TLE is a turtle of unknown s	species.
Darker shadi	ing of cells indicates higher values.	

Species	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3
Banded sea krait (BSS)	0	0	0
Green turtle (GNT)	17	1	1
Hawksbill turtle (HBT)	4	2	1
Leatherback turtle (LBT)	212	22	1
Loggerhead turtle (LHT)	5	0	1
Olive ridley turtle (ORT)	0	0	1
Sea turtle (TLE)	13	3	0
LBT per 100 events	0.82	0.37	0.02
LBT per 100 000 hooks	0.90	0.34	0.01



Figure 3-7: Cluster 1; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending) for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.



Figure 3-8: Cluster 2; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending), for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.



Figure 3-9: Cluster 3; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending), for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.

3.2.2 Six clusters

Increasing the number of clusters from three to six provides greater resolution of the fisheries although still with high overlap (Figure 3-10). The higher resolution is mostly in the southern bluefin target fisheries (Table 3-19), although these fisheries capture relatively few leatherbacks (Table 3-20).



Figure 3-10: Principal components scatter plot with coloured ellipses indicating the location of clusters, plotted for the first two principal dimensions. The reported target species for Cluster 1 was most often bigeye tuna, followed by southern bluefin tuna (Table 3-19). Cluster 1 caught mainly blue shark, followed by swordfish, sunfish, and tunas (Table 3-20), with a relatively wide fishing season peaking between March and June (Table 3-21) and in relatively warm waters (mean SST of 18.6°C, Table 3-19). Effort has declined and been relatively low since 2021 (Table 3-23). Cluster 1 occurred primarily off the east coast North Island, often with high effort in the Bay of Plenty, and some off the west coast South Island (Figure 3-11). Cluster 1 caught the greatest number of leatherbacks (n=138), with 0.76 leatherback per 100 events or 0.85 leatherbacks per 100 000 hooks (Table 3-23).

The reported target species for Cluster 2 was bigeye tuna, followed by swordfish (Table 3-19), but the highest catches, with especially high catch rates, were of swordfish, followed by blue shark, sunfish, and bigeye tuna (Table 3-20). Cluster 2 fished in the warmest water (mean SST of 19.8 °C, Table 3-19) and peaked around March (Table 3-21). Effort in Cluster 2 was relatively low since 2019, although was higher in 2021 (Table 3-22). Cluster 2 was distributed predominantly off the east coast North Island, with a relative increase in effort around the Bay of Plenty and East Cape in 2021 (Figure 3-12). Cluster 2 had the highest catch rate of leatherbacks (1.35 leatherback per 100 events or 1.27 leatherbacks per 100 000 hooks) but caught fewer (n=76) overall than Cluster 1 (Table 3-23).

Clusters 3, 4, 5, and 6 all primarily targeted southern bluefin tuna, with Clusters 3 and 6 taking place earliest in the season peaking in May (Table 3-21) and catching mostly blue shark (Table 3-20). The main difference between Clusters 3 and 6 seemed to be a greater catch of albacore, bigeye tuna, swordfish, and sunfish in Cluster 3 (Table 3-20). Cluster 5 peaked in June and July and caught less blue shark and more southern bluefin tuna, followed by Cluster 4 peaking in July and catching largely southern bluefin, with few blue shark (Table 3-20 and 3.2.8). Fishing effort in Clusters 3 and 6 declined over time and was absent in 2023, while effort in Cluster 5 remained relatively high since around 2015, and effort in Cluster 4 started to increase since 2014 (Table 3-22).

Cluster 3 largely occurred off the east coast North Island and the southwest corner of the South Island (Figure 3-13). Clusters 4 and 5 had similar distributions, primarily off the west coast South Island with some effort around the Bay of Plenty and East Cape, and east coast South Island particularly in 2023 (Figure 3-14 and Figure 3-15). The distribution of Cluster 6 was similar to Cluster 3 but had more fishing in the far south (Figure 3-16), and at colder water temperatures (mean SST of 16.3°C vs. 17.2°C) (Table 3-19). The mean depth of fishing across Clusters 1 to 5 was similar (512–536 m); Cluster 6 had the shallowed mean fishing depth of 487 m (Table 3-19).

Cluster 3 caught a relatively low number of leatherbacks (n=17), with 0.38 leatherback per 100 events or 0.38 leatherbacks per 100 000 hooks (Table 3-23). Clusters 4, 5, and 6 had very low numbers of reported leatherbacks, with Cluster 4 reported no leatherbacks, Cluster 5 reporting three, and Cluster 6 reporting one. The catch rates of leatherbacks for Clusters 5 and 6 were 0.05 leatherback per 100 events or 0.04 leatherbacks per 100 000 hooks, and 0.13 leatherback per 100 events or 0.10 leatherbacks per 100 000 hooks, respectively (Table 3-23).

Further analysis within Clusters 1 and 2 (of six), or within Cluster 1 (of six), did not identify any additional useful fishery subunits; two clusters could be estimated, but the fishing statistics and leatherback catch rates in each were very similar.

Of the vessels which did report a leatherback capture, those which caught the most leatherbacks had similar distribution of effort between clusters. This cluster included vessels that never report a leatherback, although they included some of the more active vessels (Table 3-24). The effort of all but one vessel reporting at least one leatherback was focused within Cluster 1.

Table 3-19:Surface longline number of events by reported target species (2008–2023) and cluster, withreported fishing depth and SST (interquartile ranges in parentheses).Species only shown if there was morethan one record.Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.

Target species	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6
Shortsnouted lancet (ABR)	1	19	0	0	0	0
Albacore tuna (ALB)	89	0	12	12	17	0
Bigeye tuna (BIG)	9 007	2 776	1 428	40	164	132
Bluenose (BNS)	3	1	1	0	0	0
Blue shark (BWS)	3	1	0	0	0	0
Kingfish (KIN)	3	0	0	0	0	0
Mako shark (MAK)	4	0	0	0	0	0
Northern bluefin (NTU)	18	9	0	0	0	0
Striped marlin (STM)	2	0	1	0	0	0
Southern bluefin (STN)	7 026	1 021	2 605	1 950	5 989	526
Swordfish (SWO)	1 711	2 044	375	9	67	83
Pacific bluefin tuna (TOR)	173	88	26	2	2	7
Yellowfin tuna (YFN)	15	1	3	0	0	0
Depth (m)	520	536	512	520	534	487
	(190–729)	(370–684)	(190–750)	(90–795)	(110–778)	(113–724)
SST (°C)	18.6	19.8	17.2	15.0	15.7	16.3
	(17.0–20.5)	(18.2–21.0)	(16.0–18.4)	(13.7–16.4)	(14.1–17.0)	(15.0–17.5)

Characterising the surface longline fishing fleet behaviour

	Clus	ter 1	Clus	ster 2	Clus	ster 3	Clus	ster 4	Clus	ster 5	Clus	ster 6
Sp. code	t	t/event										
ALB	1 425	87.6	663	117.8	342	75.5	127	42.0	429	60.5	62	62.2
BIG	1 161	71.3	442	78.5	180	39.8	12	4.1	63	8.9	22	22.4
BSP	2	0.1	<1	0.0	3	0.7	6	2.1	7	1.0	3	3.0
BTU	43	2.7	10	1.8	25	5.5	10	3.3	36	5.0	4	4.5
BWH	6	0.4	7	1.2	10	2.1	0	0.1	4	0.6	3	2.9
BWS	2 258	138.7	924	164.2	3 996	881.9	496	164.4	1 281	180.6	2 416	2 427.9
DAS	19	1.2	6	1.1	4	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.1	0	0.4
DEA	5	0.3	<1	0.1	10	2.2	25	8.4	26	3.7	4	4.0
DOF	15	0.9	8	1.4	2	0.3	0		0	0.0	0	0.0
LAT	133	8.2	38	6.8	45	9.8	1	0.2	5	0.8	3	3.2
LEP	74	4.6	25	4.4	18	3.9	0	0.2	4	0.5	2	2.3
MAK	451	27.7	27	48.5	288	63.5	36	11.9	139	19.6	121	121.6
MOO	397	24.4	108	19.1	124	27.3	22	7.2	84	11.9	17	17.5
OFH	36	2.2	14	2.4	15	3.3	3	1.0	11	1.5	4	4.4
POR	9	0.6	4	0.7	9	1.9	2	0.7	6	0.9	10	9.7
POS	185	11.4	57	10.1	165	36.4	50	16.5	131	18.4	89	89.7
RAY	15	0.9	17	2.9	4	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.1	0	0.2
RBM	38	2.4	14	2.5	19	4.2	32	10.6	38	5.3	7	6.6
RUD	12	0.7	4	0.7	4	0.9	2	0.5	3	0.4	1	0.6
STM	152	9.4	130	23.1	21	4.7	1	0.3	3	0.4	1	1.0
STN	1 010	62.1	312	55.4	608	134.1	3 962	1 313.6	4 456	628.3	229	229.7
SUN	1 117	68.6	526	93.4	316	69.7	32	10.5	152	21.5	51	51.5
SWO	1 629	100.1	3 808	676.3	563	124.2	135	44.8	415	58.5	110	110.7
THR	144	8.9	92	16.3	59	13.1	9	3.0	23	3.2	11	10.9
TOR	175	10.7	69	12.3	29	6.4	25	8.1	70	9.8	2	2.5
YFN	127	7.8	58	10.3	12	2.7	0	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.6

Table 3-20:Surface longline total catch (2008–2023) and catch rate (t/event) by species (species code) for
clusters. Species included only where catches in at least one cluster were >5t. Darker shading of cells
indicates higher values. For species names see Table 3-14.

Month	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6
10	292	17	81	0	1	4
11	792	28	151	0	1	6
12	1 027	113	178	0	0	8
1	1 519	528	91	0	3	3
2	1 726	913	119	11	98	1
3	2 315	1 541	189	80	383	10
4	2 273	1 090	316	142	631	36
5	2 170	883	925	376	1 081	215
6	2 019	422	800	498	1 567	163
7	1 673	204	614	665	1 509	128
8	1 551	167	713	240	885	135
9	698	55	274	1	81	39

 Table 3-21:
 Surface longline number of fishing events by month (2008–2023) and cluster. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.

Table 3-22:	Surface longline number o	f fishing events by	fishing yea	ar (year ending) and	cluster. Darker
shading of ce	ells indicates higher values.				

Fishing year	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6
2008	1 199	272	258	60	133	36
2009	1 696	325	273	56	233	47
2010	1 673	480	374	74	203	34
2011	1 555	665	343	88	210	48
2012	1 198	569	446	83	361	124
2013	1 066	684	384	97	327	89
2014	935	426	365	154	340	102
2015	680	550	374	176	388	65
2016	1 072	545	439	128	485	33
2017	1 068	319	301	139	540	52
2018	1 087	335	435	158	530	61
2019	1 169	117	287	104	475	35
2020	1 284	152	106	123	523	6
2021	897	260	56	164	437	15
2022	674	85	5	176	483	1
2023	802	177	5	233	572	0

	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	Cluster 5	Cluster 6
Banded sea krait (BSS)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Green turtle (GNT)	9	6	2	1	1	0
Hawksbill turtle (HBT)	3	3	0	1	0	0
Leatherback turtle (LBT)	138	76	17	0	3	1
Loggerhead turtle (LHT)	5	0	0	0	1	0
Olive Ridleys turtle (ORT)	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sea turtle (TLE)	8	5	3	0	0	0
LBT per 100 events	0.76	1.35	0.38	0	0.05	0.13
LBT per 100 000 hooks	0.85	1.27	0.38	0	0.04	0.10

Table 3-23:Surface longline captures of marine reptiles (2008–2023) by species (or species group) andaverage leatherback catch rate (2008–2023) by cluster. Darker shading of cells indicates higher values.

Table 3-24:Distribution of fishing effort (events) between clusters for vessels that reported at least oneleatherback capture (total n = 235).Darker shading of cells indicates higher effort values. All effort recordedfor each vessel is included (not just those which caught a turtle).The last row includes all vessels that neverreported a leatherback (LBT) capture.

Vessel	Cluster						No.	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	leatherbacks	events
а	879	324	206	64	213	37	72	1 723
b	335	120	58	47	117	2	44	679
с	1 582	304	391	60	226	29	32	2 592
d	617	316	162	7	57	16	28	1 175
е	1 052	301	290	66	201	34	17	1 944
f	135	253	124	15	44	11	13	582
g	568	187	199	64	285	27	5	1 330
h	485	202	140	74	156	27	4	1 084
i	1 268	375	41	33	205	6	3	1 928
j	84	71	49	1	20	3	3	228
k	271	88	70	3	42	7	2	481
Ι	404	143	131	22	116	15	2	831
m	258	149	108	36	156	4	2	711
n	271	83	47	69	197	4	2	671
ο	187	46	30	1	6	0	1	270
р	24	23	8	0	2	1	1	58
q	210	168	4	17	70	0	1	469
r	456	192	134	47	159	56	1	1 044
S	274	48	50	28	114	6	1	520
t	294	138	80	53	203	5	1	773
No LBT n = 80	8 400	2 430	2 128	1306	3 651	458	235	18 373



Figure 3-11: Cluster 1; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending) for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.



Figure 3-12: Cluster 2; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending) for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.



Figure 3-13: Cluster 3; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending) for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.



Figure 3-14: Cluster 4; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending) for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.



Figure 3-15: Cluster 5; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending) for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.



Figure 3-16: Cluster 6; spatial relative distribution of fishing events by 0.5° longitude and latitude cells, by fishing year (labelled year ending) for the last three fishing years (2023, 2022, 2021, and summarised for the years before that, 2008-2020). Darker shading indicates greater fishing effort.

3.3 Reapplying the 2021 GAM

The GAM described by Dunn et al. (2023) was refitted to the North Island data only (north of 40° S) from 2008–2021 as a binomial model with leatherback occurrence a function of the same four environmental predictors used previously. The predicted variable effects were similar to those described by Dunn et al. (2023), with an increase in catch rates in waters greater than about 18° C and with increasing chlorophyll, a decrease in catch rates with increasing EKE, and a peak in catch rates around 37–38° S (Figure 3-17).



Figure 3-17: Leatherback turtle predicted probability of capture from the generalised additive model (GAM) predictors: Left panels, the predicted coefficient effect (with 95% confidence interval) estimated with other coefficients fixed at their median values; Middle panels, the influence of each term on the estimate by fishing year; Right panels, the distribution of each variable by fishing year (box plot showing median as the solid bar, interquartile range as the box, with whiskers extending to the 5% and 95% intervals).





Figure 3-18: Left panel, the observed number of leatherback turtle reported captures versus the generalised additive model (GAM) predicted probability of leatherback turtle capture by fishing year using data to 2021; Right panel, the GAM expected median probability of capture (black line and points) and the observed reported number of captures (red line and points) by fishing year (scaled to have the same mean). Projected years 2022 and 2023 are shown as blue points.

3.4 Influence of environmental conditions

3.4.1 Leatherback turtles

The base model (2024 model) had five variables and explained 14.2% of the deviance:

```
Leatherback occurrence ~ sqrt(mld0p125_MO) + bathymetry + par_MO + Ugeo + log(MaggradOISST)
```

Individually, mld0p125_MO explained the most deviance (6.8%), followed by bathymetry (4.5%), par_MO (3.5%), Ugeo_mean (3.5%), and MaggradOISST (0.8%). The inclusion of SST variables to this base model increased the deviance explained to no more than 14.4%.

An alternative model (2021 model) included the four model variables identified by Dunn et al. (2023) explained 10.2% of the deviance:

Leatherback occurrence ~ ST4-climatology + log(chl-a) + log(EKE) + latitude

The 2024 model predicted a slow decline of probability of leatherback capture from 2008 to 2017, whereas the observed captures showed a fluctuating but increasing trend and a low point in 2017 (Figure 3-19). Both the 2024 model and observed captures reached a high in 2021, but the 2024 model predicted a similar high in 2023 which did not occur in the observed captures.

The 2024 model predicted more captures in shallower depths, where the SST gradient (MaggradOISST) was low (<1), the mixed-layer depth (mld0p125_MO) was shallow (<50 m), the average daily incident irradiance at sea surface (par_MO) was low (20–30), and easterly currents (Ugeo_mean) were stronger (<0.17) (Figure 3-20).

The 2021 model predicted the 2021 peak in leatherback captures when fishing occurred in relatively warm water SST, and in areas where eddy kinetic energy (EKE) was low and latitude was consistent with the Bay of Plenty (Dunn et al. 2023). The 2024 model predicted the 2021 peak to be caused by fishing further from strong SST gradients (fronts) and when fishing occurred in shallower waters with a shallower mixed-layer depth.

When plotted, the 2024 and 2021 models show two different predicted distributions of leatherback captures in FMAs 1 and 2, and their ability to track the distribution of observed captures in different (Figure 3-21). The 2021 model arguably has a more comparable distribution to the leatherback captures (Figure 3-22). The 2024 model had a more restrictive predicted distribution around East Cape and extended south towards off the Mahia Peninsula, consistent with leatherback captures. This model provided a more concise predicted distribution of leatherback captures in the eastern Bay of Plenty, but unlike the 2021 model, it appears to have limited distribution in the western Bay of Plenty. The 2024 GAM highlighted an area of higher predicted distribution east of Great Barrier Island, consistent with observed captures; this area is not as pronounced in the 2021 model (Figure 3-21).



Figure 3-19: Left panel, observed number of leatherback turtle reported captures in FMA 1 and 2 vs the GAM predicted probability of leatherback turtle capture by fishing year; and right panel, GAM expected median probability of capture (black line) and the observed reported number of captures (red line) by fishing year (scaled to have the same mean).



Figure 3-20: Leatherback turtle predicted probability of capture in FMA 1 and 2 from the GAM predictors: left panels, the predicted coefficient effect (with 95% confidence interval) estimated with other coefficients fixed at their median values; centre panels, the influence of each term on the estimate by fishing year; right panels, the distribution of each variable by fishing year (box plot showing median as the solid bar, interquartile range as the box, with whiskers extending to the 5% and 95% intervals).



Figure 3-21: Probability of leatherback capture in surface longlines for commercial fishing records 2008 to 2023 in FMAs 1 and 2, using A, the GAM developed in 2024 (Section 3.4.1), and B, the GAM developed in 2021 (Section 3.3).Sdarker shading indicates greater probability.



Figure 3-22: Distribution of fisher and observer-reported leatherback turtle captures from 2008 to 2021 (red dots, n=217). Grey line indicates the 100 m isobath, and the black line is the New Zealand Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ). From Dunn et al. (2023).

3.4.2 Overlap with swordfish, bigeye tuna, sunfish, and blue shark catch

The leatherback 2024 model variables from Section 3.4.1 were all significant in the swordfish GAM (p<0.05 for MaggradOISST; p<0.001 for the other variables) and explained 12.4% of the deviance in the binomial GAM and 10.9% in the lognormal GAM. The variables were similarly significant in the bigeye tuna and sunfish GAMs, for bigeye tuna explaining 18.9% of the deviance in the binomial GAM and 7.1% in the lognormal GAM, and for sunfish explaining 3.8% in the binomial GAM and 7.6% in the lognormal GAM. For blue shark all variables were highly significant (p<0.001) explaining 14.6% in the binomial GAM and 12.9% in the lognormal GAM.

Leatherbacks and swordfish had similar predicted probability of capture for four of the five variables. Leatherbacks and swordfish had higher bycatch and target catch rates, respectively, when the SST gradient (MaggradOISST) was lower (<0.5), in contrast to bigeye tuna, sunfish, and blue shark which had higher catch rates in high SST gradient areas (Figure 3-23). Leatherbacks had the strongest positive relationship with shallow (<50 m) mixed layer depths (mld0p125_MO), with swordfish and bigeye also showing the same relationship but less pronounced (~50 m). Leatherback captures and swordfish catch rates were higher at lower daily total irradiance (20–30, par_MO), in contrast to the other three species. Zonal currents (Ugeo_mean) was the only variable where leatherbacks and swordfish differed, with leatherbacks showing a relationship unlike the fish species (remaining low to zero until ~ 0.17). Leatherbacks and swordfish again had a similar relation to depth, with both species' predicted probably of capture decreasing with increasing depth. Sunfish and, to a lesser extent, blue shark also showed increasing catch rates in shallower water, but at deeper depths than leatherbacks and swordfish.

The last decade of the fishery has seen a trend towards fishing further from the high SST gradient areas, and the 2021 fishing season was notable for fishing in areas of shallow mixed layer depth and bottom depth (both at the lowest median values in the time series) (Figure 3-20). In 2021, the year when most leatherback turtle captures were reported, the models predicted the move towards fishing in waters with shallower mixed layer depth brought a greater catch rate of bigeye tuna (and swordfish, to a point), but also more leatherback bycatch (Figure 3-23). Fishing where the zonal current was weaker (westerly) added to a higher catch rate of bigeye tuna. However, fishing in shallower waters reduced the catch rate of bigeye tuna, and increased catch rates of swordfish and bycatch of leatherbacks.



Figure 3-23: Leatherback turtle (LBT), swordfish (SWO), bigeye tuna (BIG), sunfish (SUN), and blue shark (BWS) predicted probability of capture (leatherbacks) or catch rates (fishes) in FMA 1 and 2 from a binomial GAM for leatherbacks, and delta-lognormal GAM for fishes, for the same variables as selected for the leatherback GAM. The predicted effect is estimated with other coefficients fixed at their median values.

3.5 Differences between vessels with reported leatherback captures and those without

Five surface longline vessels (6% of the New Zealand SLL fleet) reported at least 10 leatherback captures each between 2008 and 2023 and accounted for 91% (n=192/211) of the total leatherback records. There were 76 vessels between 2008 and 2023 which reported surface longline fishing but never reported a leatherback capture.

There was relatively little difference between vessels that reported leatherback and those with no reported bycatch for most variables, the exception being latitude (and to a lesser extent longitude). Vessels that did report leatherback bycatch had a focus of fishing effort around 37° S, a location that includes the Bay of Plenty (Figure 3-24), and relatively more fishing effort over summer, and in warmer waters, and fishing effort decreased slightly after about 2017. Fishing effort for vessels with no reported leatherback bycatch declined substantially from 2008 to 2023.

The absence of leatherback captures on Chatham Rise is consistent with a lack of SLL fishing effort in that region (Figure 3-25).

When compared within the fishery cluster that reported most leatherback captures (Cluster 1 of the 3-cluster analysis in Section 3.2.1) the difference between vessels that did and did have reported leatherback bycatch was reduced (Figure 3-26). The difference was still apparent in latitude and longitude (the peak being roughly just north of East Cape), with vessels reporting leatherbacks also fishing in areas with slightly higher SST and less frequently targeting southern bluefin tuna (STN). The observer coverage was lowest in Cluster 1 (7.1%), higher in Cluster 2 (14.7%), and highest in Cluster 3 (21.7%).

Only two vessels completed both observed and unobserved trips within the leatherback bycatch hotspot area and season. The first vessel completed 40 observed fishing events and 159 unobserved events, with no leatherbacks encountered in the observed events, and 12 in the unobserved events (0.075 leatherbacks per event). The second vessel completed 55 observed fishing events and 173 unobserved events, with four leatherbacks encountered in the observed events (0.073 leatherbacks per event) and 13 in the unobserved events (0.075 leatherbacks per event).



Figure 3-24: Frequency of surface longline fishing events in FMAs 1 and 2 by reported fishing effort variables for all years combined (2008–2023). y, reported at least 10 leatherback captures; n, never reported a leatherback capture.



Figure 3-25: Distribution of surface longline fishing effort (events) for all years combined (2008–2023), by 0.2° latitude and longitude cells. Darker cells indicate more effort. The broken line indicates the 1000 m isobath.



Figure 3-26: Frequency of surface longline fishing events in FMAs 1 and 2 for Cluster 1 (see Section 3.2.1) by reported fishing effort variables for all years combined (2008–2023). y, reported at least 10 leatherback captures; n, never reported a leatherback capture.

4 Discussion

4.1 Fishery characterisation

The fisheries characterisation initially indicated leatherback bycatch was greatest in the bigeye tuna target fishery. However, the analyses based on clustering of catch compositions into different units (métiers) produced a different result, with the strongest association between leatherbacks and vessels fishing for swordfish. This difference was because the reported target species did not necessarily reflect the species most frequently caught. The cluster analyses did not isolate the Bay of Plenty region (i.e., a relatively warm water region), but most leatherbacks were taken in the cluster where SST were highest. If there was an attribute in the fishing pattern that resulted in more leatherback captures, this attribute will be at a finer level of detail than the catch classification analyses; in other words, this attribute likely concerned factors other than those included in the analyses here (i.e., target species, month, latitude, catch composition, vessel).

Swordfish target fisheries have been associated with relatively high leatherback capture rates, attributed to a relatively shallow (50–100 m) fishing depth, whereas the targeting of tuna is usually 100–300 m depth (Donoso & Dutton 2010, Santon et al. 2024). Swordfish are reported to conduct vertical migrations coming to the surface waters at night, and user deeper water during the full moon (Sepulveda et al., 2018; Tracey et al., 2023). While all New Zealand longline fishers are required to nominate a target species for each set, the target species is often recorded as a preferred species, while the gear setup is generalised to land a range of target species (Brouwer & Griggs 2009). Although Brouwer & Griggs (2009) reported vessels targeting bigeye tuna usually fish deeper (reported as more hooks per basket), anecdotal evidence suggests that there was no material difference in the gear setup for bigeye tuna or swordfish in the Bay of Plenty.

The leatherback bycatch hotspot, as defined by latitude and month, included overall 24.4% of the bigeye tuna catch, 17.9% of swordfish catch, and 0.1% of the southern bluefin tuna catch. The overlap between species and leatherback catch was calculated into broad spatial and temporal regions (i.e., by month and latitude bin) and would overestimate the impact of closing the region of highest leatherback captures (the 'hotspot' north of East Cape); these estimates are a worst-case scenario. The analyses of catches in the leatherback hotspot were not refined in the final analysis as the objective for this work was to characterise the fisheries and improve our understanding of leatherback interactions and was not to suggest or evaluate an actual closed area at this time.

4.2 Leatherback distribution in relation to environmental variables

The updated (2024) GAM for FMA 1 and 2 only selected the SST gradient and not a direct SST variable as reported previously (Dunn et al. 2023). This difference may be a consequence of a more spatially restricted dataset where absolute temperature was less important. The 2024 GAM outperformed the 2021 GAM in terms of deviance explained but did include an additional variable, so this comparison is not fair. The 2024 GAM also predicted a spatial distribution that was similar but not obviously better than the 2021 GAM. When developing a Spatial Distribution Model (SDM), the best approach is probably to use data from all areas rather than restricted data to FMA 1 and 2; this would provide the SDM with more information and include observations towards the edges of the species range (i.e., FMA 7).

The 2021 GAM predicted average leatherback captures in 2023, whereas the 2024 GAM estimated relatively high captures. There were no recent substantive changes in turtle capture mitigation

practices that would explain the difference in 2023. Circle hooks were mandated from 3 August 2023 to reduce turtle captures, but anecdotal reports suggested the fleet in the Bay of Plenty was using circle hooks anyway.

The 2021 GAM was not used as a predictive model, only to investigate hypotheses for increasing leatherback bycatch. The 2021 GAM did correctly predict the general increase during 2008–2011, the zigzag pattern from 2011–15, and the high point in 2020–21. Although the 2021 GAM did predict the low probability of capture in 2020, it did not predict the higher catches either side of 2020. The closeness of projections to observations in 2022 and 2023 suggests the 2021 GAM could be useful, however the observed captures for 2022 and 2023 were close to the time-series average for 2008 to 2021 (median = 13), so a projection simply assuming average captures for 2022 and 2023 would have performed similarly well.

Anecdotal information from fishers was that the leatherback bycatch rate was relatively high in 2023, but overall bycatch was reduced because fishing effort was lower, and leatherback bycatch was not easily predictable, but associated with warmer waters in summer (late-summer especially), and ocean currents were more important than target species. Ocean currents was a predictor of leatherback bycatch in the 2024 GAM (this study), but not the 2021 GAM (Dunn et al., 2023). The reason for the peak in bycatch in 2021 remains equivocal. The 2021 model predicted the 2021 peak in leatherback captures when fishing occurred in relatively warm water SST, and in areas where EKE was low and latitude was consistent with the Bay of Plenty (Dunn et al. 2023). The 2024 model (this study) predicted the peak to be caused by fishing further from strong SST gradients (fronts) and when fishing occurred in shallower waters with a shallower mixed-layer depth. The modelling approach used was the same in both studies, so the different conclusions are caused by differences in the data set, combined with using a procedure to select the "best" model, accepting that there are likely others with different variable selections that perform very nearly as well.

The leatherback predicted environmental variable effects were closest to those for swordfish. Although sunfish have been associated with leatherbacks elsewhere (Mosnier et al. 2019), in New Zealand, their environmental effect was similar only for depth. Leatherbacks are thought to be associated with areas where gelatinous zooplankton abundance is relatively high, and is speculated to include frontal zones (e.g., Benson et al. 2011). Whilst sunfish, blue shark, and bigeye tuna catch rates all increased when the SST gradient was higher (i.e., an association with frontal zones), leatherbacks and swordfish did not. It may be that leatherbacks off the east coast of the North Island are more abundant in eddies or coastal retention areas that are known to aggregate prey (Benson et al. 2011) and are located away from the frontal zones.

Although large-scale oceanic conditions have been suggested to impact leatherback distribution, there is no clear pattern of this influence in New Zealand. Whilst 2021 notably had a La Niña winter and spring and a peak in leatherback captures, those ocean conditions previously occurred in 2010 and 2011 when leatherback captures were around the time series average. Peak capture of leatherbacks around Australia were also in different years, in 2008 and 2018 (Hazel et al. 2024).

4.3 Vessels with reported leatherback bycatch and those without

The comparison of vessels which did and did not report leatherback captures also suggested that the primary influence on leatherback capture is location. The limited data from the observer programme did not suggest the presence or absence of an observer affected reporting of leatherback captures. There have been no observers on surface longline vessels off the east North Island for the last two

years. Electronic Monitoring (EM, i.e., cameras on vessels) was introduced to the fleet from 16 January 2024. It has been shown overseas that the introduction of EM influences estimates of bycatch and protected species captures (Emery et al. 2019). EM may influence not only the vessels that report bycatch, but also how often bycatch is reported on vessels that usually report (Brown et al. 2021). Any influence by EM in leatherback bycatch reporting should be reviewed in future years.

4.4 Other issues

Our results suggest the prevailing influence on leatherback captures within the SLL fishery was most likely location. The objective of this project was not to design or recommend a spatial-temporal closure to reduce leatherback bycatch, but to further understand the fishery and patterns of leatherback capture reporting. If a closed area was required, an analysis approach using clustering might be considered as it naturally defines boundaries through binary splits of the data. Internationally, the preferred approach for bycatch mitigation is to develop spatial distribution models (SDMs) for spatial risk assessments (e.g., DiMatteo et al. 2024, Lopez et al. 2024), of which the GAM used here is one version. For New Zealand, there are now three versions of an SDM; this study, Dunn et al. (2023), and Siders et al. (2024), all of which are slightly different. A reliable risk assessment requires a credible and accepted SDM, which ideally needs to be tested with fishery independent data (e.g., telemetry, aerial surveys), especially given the New Zealand fishery dependent data are limited (n = 239 leatherbacks in n = 37 636 fishing records). Such an approach could also be extended into a more holistic and integrated multispecies approach (e.g., EcoCast, https://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/ecocast/map_product.html).

Six records of leatherback captures were found south of 42° S, which may be the most southern known records for leatherback globally. These records were all off the west coast South Island, with the furthest south occurring in March 2013 at 43.5° S and at a vessel-reported sea surface temperature (SST) of 17.8° C. Off Chile, the most southern record of a free-swimming leatherback was reported at 38° 39' S, and possibly to 42° S (Donoso & Dutton, 2010). Hazel et al. (2024) reported leatherback captures around the south coast of Tasmania, close to 44° S.

Satellite tagging data suggests that leatherbacks using different nesting beaches may have different migration routes leading to different probabilities of encountering New Zealand fisheries (Figure 4-1). For example, Indonesian leatherbacks may enter New Zealand waters via Australia and the Tasman Front, travel across the top of the North Island and down through the Bay of Plenty (Benson et al. 2011). However, leatherbacks from the Solomon Islands may enter New Zealand waters from a more oceanic direction, and encounter less fishing effort enroute to Chatham Rise (Peter Waldie, unpublished data). Currently it is not known whether the Bay of Plenty is actually a key foraging site for leatherbacks, or whether the surface long line fishery effort concentrated in the area is capturing migrating. The aerial leatherback habitat survey scheduled for austral summer 2025 should help to provide more information on the habitat in the Bay of Plenty region. Regardless of the stock composition, all subpopulations in the Pacific and Indian Oceans are at high risk of extinction (National Marine Fisheries Service & U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2020).



Figure 4-1: Distribution of surface longline fishing effort (events) for all years combined (2008–2023), by 0.2° latitude and longitude cells. Darker cells indicate more effort. The broken line indicates the 1000 m isobath. The red arrows are indicative of the satellite (telemetry) tracks for Indonesian nesting leatherbacks (Benson et al. 2011), and dark blue arrows indicative of the satellite tracks of Solomon Islands nesting leatherbacks (P. Waldie, tags released 2022–23, unpublished data).

5 Recommendations

We recommend the following:

- Leatherback size should be recorded wherever possible. There may be important differences in foraging behaviour between adults, which make regular migrations to nesting beaches, and juveniles, which do not.
- Further consideration of spatio-temporal closures to protect leatherbacks should be deferred until after the aerial survey has been completed in 2025.
- If a SDM is required for risk assessment and/or informing potential aerial closures, then research needs to be completed to identify the most robust SDM approach and data set.
- Variables describing distance from land or particular isobaths might be tested as additional potential predictor variables (DiMatteo et al. 2024).

6 Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the Department of Conservation's Conservation Services Programme project INT2023-03. Thank you to the following people for their advice and providing data for this work: Rafael Costa Santana (NIWA), Irene Middleton (NIWA), Karen Middlemiss (DOC), Campbell Murray (Fisheries New Zealand), and Fisheries New Zealand RDM. The altimeter products (AVISO) were produced by Ssalto/Duacs and distributed by Aviso+, with support from Cnes. Argos data were collected and made freely available by the International Argo Program and the national programs that contribute to it. The Argo Program is part of the Global Ocean Observing System. SeaWiFS and MODIS data were used courtesy of NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (SeaWiFS and MODIS projects) and based on research in NIWA Oceans Programme 4 "Ocean remote sensing" (MBIE-SSIF).
7 References

- Behrenfeld, M.J., Falkowski, P.G. (1997) Photosynthetic rates derived from satellite-based chlorophyll concentration. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 42: 1–20.
- Behrenfeld, M.J., Boss, E., Siegel, D.A., Shea, D.M. (2005) Carbon-based ocean productivity and phytoplankton physiology from space. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, Volume 19.
- Benson, S.R., Eguchi, T., Foley, D.G., Forney, K.A., Bailey, H., Hitipeuw, C., Samber, B.P., Tapilatu, R.F., Rei, V., Ramohia, P., Pita, J., Dutton, P.H. (2011) Large-scale movements and high-use areas of western Pacific leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea*. *Ecosphere* 2: art84.
- Benson, S.R., Forney, K.A., Moore, J.E., LaCasella, E.L., Harvey, J.T., Carretta, J.V. (2020) A long-term decline in the abundance of endangered leatherback turtles, Dermochelys coriacea, at a foraging ground in the California current ecosystem. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 24: e01371.
- Bentley, N., Kendrick, T.H., Starr, P.J., Breen, P.A. (2012). Influence plots and metrics: tools for better understanding fisheries catch-per-unit-effort standardizations. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 69: 84–88.
- Brouwer, S., Bertram. I (2009) Setting bycatch limits for sea turtle in the western and central pacific oceans shallow-set longline fisheries. Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, Scientific Committee, Fifth Regular Session, 10–21 August 2009, Port Villa, Vanuatu.
- Brouwer, S., Griggs, L. (2009) Description of New Zealand's shallow-set longline fisheries.
 Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, Scientific Committee, Fifth Regular Session, 10–21 August 2009, Port Villa, Vanuatu.
- Brown, C.J., Desbiens, A., Campbell, M.D., Game, E.T., Gilman, E., Hamilton, R.J., Heberer,C., Itano, D., Pollock, K. (2021) Electronic monitoring for improved accountability inwestern Pacific tuna longline fisheries. *Marine Policy* 132: 104664.
- Chassignet, E.P., Hurlburt, H.E., Smedstad, O.M., Halliwell, G.R., Hogan, P.J., Wallcraft A.J., Baraille, R., Bleck, R. (2007) The HYCOM (Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model) data assimilative system. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 65: 60–83.
- Clark, D.K. (1997) MODIS Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document Bio-optical algorithms Case I waters. NASA, <u>https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/technical/atbd_mod17.pdf</u>.
- Cubillos, L.A., Vilches, J., Oyarzun, C., Yepsen, D. (2022) Fishing opportunities for the deepwater cardinalfish (*Epigonus crassicaudus*) in the continental shelf creak along central Chile. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 3: 293–301.
- DiMatteo, A., Roberts, J.J., Jones, D., Garrison, L., Hart, K.M., Kenmney, R.D., McLellan,
 W.A., Lonmac-MacNair, K., Palka, D., Rickard, W.E., Roberts, K.E., Zoidis, A.M., Sparks, L.
 (2024) Sea turtle density surface models along the United States Atlantic coast.
 Endangered Species Research, 53: 227–245.

- Donoso, M., Dutton, P.H. (2010) Sea turtle bycatch in the Chilean pelagic longline fishery in the southeastern Pacific: Opportunities for conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 143: 2672–2684.
- Dunn, M.R., Finucci, B., Pinkerton, M.H., Sutton, P. (2022) Review of commercial fishing interactions with marine reptiles. *NIWA client report* 2022147WN. Wellington, New Zealand.
- Dunn, M.R., Finucci, B., Pinkerton, M.H., Sutton, P., Duffy, C.A. (2023) Increased captures of the critically endangered leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) around New Zealand: the contribution of warming seas and fisher behavior. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 10: 1170632.
- Emery, T.J., Noriega, R., Williams, A.J., Larcombe, J. (2019) Changes in logbook reporting by commercial fishers following the implementation of electronic monitoring in Australian Commonwealth fisheries. *Marine Policy*, 104: 135–145
- Eppley, R.W. (1972) Temperature and phytoplankton growth in the sea. *Fishery Bulletin*, 70: 1063–1085.
- Frouin, R., McPherson, J., Ueyoshi, K., Franz, B.A. (2012) A time series of photosynthetically available radiation at the ocean surface from SeaWiFS and MODIS data. *Remote Sensing of the Marine Environment II*: 852519.
- Frouin, R., Pinker, T.R. (1995) Estimating photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at the earth's surface from satellite observations. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 51(1): 98–107.
- Gall, M.P., Pinkerton, M.H., Steinmetz, T., Wood, S. (2022) Satellite remote sensing of coastal water quality in New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 56: 585–616.
- Godoy, D.A. (2016) Marine reptiles review of interactions and populations. Department of Conservation, New Zealand.
- Hazel, J., Hamann, M., Bell, I., Groom, R. (2024) Occurrence of leatherback turtles around Australia. *Endangered Species Research*, 54: 83–91.
- Hays, G.C., Schofield, G., Papazekou, M., Chatzimentor, A., Katsanevakis, S., Mazaris, A.D.
 (2024) A pulse check for trends in sea turtle numbers across the globe. *iScience* 27, 109071.
- Huang, B., Liu, C., Banzon, V., Freeman, E., Graham, G., Hankins, B., Smith, T., Zhang, H.-M.
 (2021) Improvements of the Daily Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature
 (DOISST) Version 2.1. J. Climate, 34: 2923–2939.
- IUCN. (2024) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2024-1. https://www.iucnredlist.org.
- Kassambara, A. (2017) Practical Guide to Cluster Analysis in R: Unsupervised Machine Learning (Volume 1 of Multivariate Analysis). STHDA.

- Kaufman, L., Rousseeuw, P.J. (2009) Finding groups in data: an introduction to cluster analysis. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kilpatrick, K.A., Podestá, G., Walsh, S., Williams, E., Halliwell, V., Szczodrak, M., et al. (2015) A decade of sea surface temperature from MODIS. *Rem. Sens. Environ.*, 165: 27–41.
- Kilpatrick, K.A., Podestá, G., Williams, E., Walsh, S., Minnett, P.J. (2019) Alternating decision trees for cloud masking in MODIS and VIIRS NASA Sea surface temperature products. J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 36: 387–407.
- Kirk, J.T. (1994) *Light and photosynthesis in aquatic ecosystems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lopez, J., Griffiths, S., Wallace, B.P., Caceres, V., Rodriguez, L.H. et al. (2024) Vulnerability of the Critically Endangered leatherback turtle to fisheries bycatch in the eastern Pacific Ocean. I. A machine-learning species distribution model. *Endangered Species Research*, 53: 271–293.
- Martin, S.L., Siders, Z., Eguchi, T., Langseth, B., Yau, A., Baker, J., Ahrens, R., Jones, T.T. (2020) Update to assessing the population-level impacts of North Pacific loggerhead and western Pacific leatherback turtle interactions: inclusion of the Hawaii-based deep-set and American Samoa based longline fisheries. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TMNMFS-PIFSC-101, 67 p. doi:10.25923/pnf2-2q77.

Meeus, J. (1991) Chapter 46, Astronomical Algorithms. 2nd Ed. Virginia: Willmann-Bell.

- Metzger, J., Hurlburt, H., Wallcraft, A., Smedstad, O.M., Kara, B., Shriver, J., Smedstad, L., Franklin, D., Schmitz, Jr., B., Thoppil, P. (2007) 1/12° Global HYCOM Evaluation and Validation. 11th HYCOM Consortium Meeting 24-26 April 2007, Stennis Space Center, MS. Available (April 2019): <u>https://hycom.org/attachments/079_7_Metzger.pdf</u>.
- Mitchell, J.S., Mackay, K.A., Neil, H.L., Macklay, E.J., Pallentin, A., Notman, P. (2012) Undersea New Zealand, 1:5,000,000. In: NIWA Chart MSN ed. NIWA, NIWA. [updated to 250 m resolution, 2016, https://niwa.co.nz/oceans/new-zealand-bathymetry-furtherinformation].
- Mosnier, A., Gosselin, J.F., Lawson, J., Plourde, S., Lesage, V. (2019) Predicting seasonal occurrence of leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) in eastern Canadian waters from turtle and ocean sunfish (*Mola mola*) sighting data and habitat characteristics. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 97: 464–478.
- NASA ASTRO. (2022) The IDL Astronomy Users Library. https://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/archive/idlastro/.
- NASA OBPG. (2020) MODIS Aqua Global Level 3 Mapped SST. Ver. 2019.0. PO.DAAC, CA, USA.
- NASA Goddard Space Flight Center; Ocean Ecology Laboratory; Ocean Biology Processing Group (2018a) SeaWiFS Ocean Color Reprocessing 2018.0 <u>https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/reprocessing/r2018/seawifs/</u>

- NASA Goddard Space Flight Center; Ocean Ecology Laboratory; Ocean Biology Processing Group (2018b) MODIS/Aqua Ocean Color Reprocessing 2018.0 <u>https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/reprocessing/r2018/aqua/</u>
- National Marine Fisheries Service & U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (2020) Endangered Species Act status review of the leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*). Report to the National Marine Fisheries Service Office of Protected Resources and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/25629
- NOAA (2020) <u>Compliance Guide: Sea Turtle Limits in the Hawaii Shallow-Set Longline</u> <u>Fishery</u>. Accessed 4/6/2022.
- Pilcher, N.J. (2021) Review of the status of sea turtles in the Pacific Ocean 2021. Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme, Apia, Samoa. 136 p.
- Pinkerton, M.H., Boyd, P., Deppeler, S., Hayward, A., Hofer, J., Moreau, S. (2021) Evidence for the impact of climate change on primary producers in the Southern Ocean. *Frontiers of Marine Science*, doi: 10.3389/fevo.2021.592027.
- R Core Team (2022) *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Reich, K.J., Bjorndal, K.A., Bolten, A.B. (2007) The 'lost years' of green turtles: using stable isotopes to study cryptic lifestages. *Biology Letters*, 3: 712–714.
- Reynolds, R.W., Smith, T.M., Liu, C., Chelton, D.B., Casey, K.S., Schlax, M.G. (2007) Daily High-Resolution-Blended Analyses for Sea Surface Temperature. *Journal of Climate*, 20: 5473–5496.
- Roemmich D., Gilson J. (2009) The 2004–2008 mean and annual cycle of temperature, salinity, and steric height in the global ocean from the Argo Program. *Progress in Oceanography*, 82(2): 81–100.
- Roemmich, D., Gilson, J., Sutton, P., Zilberman, N. (2016) Multidecadal Change of the South Pacific Gyre Circulation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 46: 1871–1883. DOI: 10.1175/JPO-D-15_0237.1
- Santon, C.C., Rosa, D., Goncalves, J.M.S., Coelho, R. (2024) A review of reported effects of pelagic longline fishing gear configurations on target, bycatch and vulnerable species. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 34(1) e4027.
- Sepulveda, C.; Aalbers, S.A.; Heberer, C.; Kohin, S.; Dewar, H. (2018). Movements and behaviors of swordfish Xiphias gladius in the United States Pacific Leatherback Conservation Area. *Fisheries Oceanography* 27(4): 381–384.
- Siders, Z.A., Murray, C., Puloka, C., Harley, S., Duffy, C.A.J., Long, C.A., Ahrens, R.N., Jones, T.T. (2024) Potential of dynamic ocean management strategies for western Pacific leatherback sea turtle bycatch mitigation in New Zealand. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 11: p.1342475.

- Silsbe, G.M., Behrenfeld, M.J., Halsey, K.H., Milligan, A.J., Westberry, T. (2016) The CAFE model: A net production model for global ocean phytoplankton. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 30: 1756–1777.
- Smith, W.H.F., Sandwell, D.T. (1997) Global Seafloor Topography from Satellite Altimetry and Ship Depth Soundings. *Science*, 277: 1956–1962.
- Tracey, S.R.; Wolfe, B.W.; Hartmann, K.; Pepperell. J.; Williams, S.M. (2023). Movement behavior of swordfish provisions connectivity between the temperate and tropical southwest Pacific Ocean. *Scientific Reports* 13: 11812.
- Wood, S. (2017) *Generalized additive models: an introduction with r (2nd edition).* Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC.