Vegetation monitoring in Whanganui National Park

Amy Hawcroft and Sean Husheer

DOC RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT SERIES 315

Published by Publishing Team Department of Conservation PO Box 10420, The Terrace Wellington 6143, New Zealand

DOC Research & Development Series is a published record of scientific research carried out, or advice given, by Department of Conservation staff or external contractors funded by DOC. It comprises reports and short communications that are peer-reviewed.

Individual contributions to the series are first released on the departmental website in pdf form. Hardcopy is printed, bound, and distributed at regular intervals. Titles are also listed in our catalogue on the website, refer <u>www.doc.govt.nz</u> under *Publications*, then *Science & technical*.

© Copyright July 2009, New Zealand Department of Conservation

ISSN 1176-8886 (hardcopy)

- ISSN 1177-9306 (web PDF)
- ISBN 978-0-478-14656-1 (hardcopy)
- ISBN 978-0-478-14657-8 (web PDF)

This is a client report commissioned by Wanganui Conservancy and funded from the Science Advice Fund. It was prepared for publication by the Publishing Team; editing and layout by Amanda Todd. Publication was approved by the General Manager, Research and Development Group, Department of Conservation, Wellington, New Zealand.

In the interest of forest conservation, we support paperless electronic publishing. When printing, recycled paper is used wherever possible.

CONTENTS

Abs	tract		5
1.	Intro	oduction	6
	1.1	Impacts of browsing mammals on indigenous forest	6
	1.2	Forest classification	8
	1.3	Whanganui National Park	8
		1.3.1 Introduced herbivore management and outcome	10
	1.4	Objectives	10
_			
2.	Meth	nods	
	2.1	New Zealand Forest Service recce plots	11
	2.2	Permanent plots	13
	2.3	Data analysis	14
3.	Resu	ılts	16
	3.1	Forest types and environmental drivers	16
	3.2	Evidence of ungulate impact	19
	3.3	Adequancy of the permanent plot network	21
4.	Disc	ussion	22
	4.1	Forest classification in Whanganui National Park	22
	4.2	Effects of introduced ungulates	22
	4.3	Future vegetation monitoring	23
5.	Cone	clusions	24
6.	Reco	ommendations	24
7.	Ackr	nowledgements	25
8.	Refe	rences	25

Vegetation monitoring in Whanganui National Park

Amy Hawcroft¹ and Sean Husheer²

- ¹ Wanganui Conservancy, Department of Conservation, Private Bag 3016, Wanganui 4540, New Zealand. Email: <u>ahawcroft@doc.govt.nz</u>
- ² 15 McElwee Street, Jervoistown, Napier 4112, New Zealand

ABSTRACT

This study characterised the vegetation of Whanganui National Park, North Island, New Zealand, using data from 470 temporary reconnaissance (recce) plots measured in 1986/87 and 39 permanent forest plots established between 2001 and 2006. The Whanganui forest comprises a range of plant species, but was homogenous across the area surveyed. Unlike other North Island forests, there were no strong relationships between vegetation composition and factors such as altitude or latitude, confirming earlier, qualitative descriptions. This indicates that forest composition in Whanganui varies at the local scale, and is probably driven by small changes in soil type, topography and disturbance history. Species selected by ungulates were found to be less common in the browse tier than in the canopy, which suggests that regeneration is being suppressed. Relative abundances of common species in the permanent plots differed from those in the more extensive recce plot network, suggesting that the permanent plot network was not representative. Since no distinct forest types were identified, use of a stratified sampling design will not remedy this. Instead, the number of plots should be increased. It was calculated that the number of vegetation plots in Whanganui National Park and surrounding conservation areas should be increased to at least 60 unfenced forest plots. A smaller number of exclosure plots (each paired with an unfenced plot) should be used to indicate the potential of the forest to recover if ungulates were eradicated. Targeted monitoring methods should be used for the short-term assessment of wild animal management.

Keywords: Whanganui National Park, vegetation monitoring, ungulate browse, recce plots, permanent plots, lowland forest, central North Island, New Zealand

[©] July 2009, New Zealand Department of Conservation. This paper may be cited as:

Hawcroft, A.; Husheer, S. 2009: Vegetation monitoring in Whanganui National Park. *DOC Research* & *Development Series* 315. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 28 p.

1. Introduction

Whanganui National Park (WNP) covers 74231 ha of lowland forest in the southwestern part of the North Island, New Zealand (DOC 1989). With adjoining conservation areas, it forms the second largest lowland forest (146000 ha) in the North Island (DOC 2006). It lies approximately 50 km northwest of Wanganui and 30 km southwest of Taumaranui (Fig. 1). Nearly half the park is below 300 m a.s.l. and only the highest points are over 700 m a.s.l. (DOC 2006). National vegetation classifications characterise it as podocarp-broadleaf forest (Newsome 1987; Leathwick 2001; Hall & McGlone 2006).

Browsing by introduced mammalian herbivores significantly influences forest processes in New Zealand (Nugent, Fraser et al. 2001; Wardle et al. 2002). Over the past 90 years, Whanganui forests have been occupied by brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*), goats (*Capra hircus*) and pigs (*Sus scrofa*), but there is little quantitative evidence of the latter two species' impacts on forest structure and composition. This report addresses this by summarising existing information about the Whanganui forest and recommending improvements to current monitoring methods.

1.1 IMPACTS OF BROWSING MAMMALS ON INDIGENOUS FOREST

Feral ungulates such as deer, goats and pigs were introduced to New Zealand during the late 19th and early 20th centuries, and are still spreading across the country (Fraser et al. 2000). Ungulate herbivory typically favours particular species, which can lead to changes in forest composition as the regeneration of selected species is suppressed and avoided species benefit from reduced competition (Nugent, Fraser et al. 2001). The browse preferences of feral ungulates have been determined by comparing the abundance of plant species in the browse tier with their abundance in gut or faecal pellet contents (Forsyth et al. 2002). However, palatability is not the only determinant of a plant species' response to ungulate browse, as some highly palatable species have characteristics that enable them to persist in heavily browsed communities, such as very rapid growth rates or the ability to regenerate epiphytically (Augustine & McNaughton 1998).

Fenced forest plots typically have higher densities of fast-growing, softleaved, palatable species, such as large-leaved *Coprosma* species, mahoe *(Melicytus ramiflorus)*, pate *(Schefflera digitata)* and hangehange *(Geniostema rupestre)*, and lower densities of unpalatable species, such as horopito *(Pseudowintera* spp.), small-leaved shrubs and hookgrasses *(Uncinia* spp.), than neighbouring plots exposed to browse (Jane & Pracy 1974; Allen et al. 1984; Smale et al. 1995; Bockett 1997; Walls 1998; Wardle et al. 2001; Husheer 2007). Similar responses have been found in studies relating vegetation composition to changing ungulate density over time in northern Fiordland (Stewart et al. 1987) and in the Orongorongo Valley (Fitzgerald & Gibb 2001).



Species that are typically classified as 'not selected' or 'avoided' may also be affected by ungulate browse. For example, high deer densities apparently impede beech regeneration, even though beech is not usually considered to be a selected species (Husheer et al. 2003).

The risk of forests collapsing and being replaced by a lower scrub of browseresistant species is greatest where ungulate herbivory is complemented by herbivory by brushtail possums (Nugent, Fraser et al. 2001). Brushtail possums can browse individual species to local extinction and may contribute to canopy collapse (Payton 2000). They reach their highest densities in diverse, lowaltitude forest (Cowan 1990), such as is found at Whanganui. Conspicuous mortality followed possum colonisation in the Orongorongo Valley (Fitzgerald & Gibb 2001), Westland (Rose et al. 1992, 1993), and the southern Ruahine Range (Rogers & Leathwick 1997). Brushtail possums may also impede forest

Figure 1. Location of Whanganui National Park, North Island, New Zealand. regeneration, as fruit and flowers make up a large proportion of possum diet (Owen & Norton 1995; Nugent et al. 2000; Nugent, Fraser et al. 2001; Dungan et al. 2002) and fruit production of several species has been found to increase after possum control (Cowan & Waddington 1990; Cowan 1991). Seedlings are also directly browsed (Buddenhagen & Ogden 2003; Wilson et al. 2003), but the effect of this is probably minor relative to the effects of ungulates (Nugent, Fraser et al. 2001; Sweetapple & Burns 2002).

1.2 FOREST CLASSIFICATION

The classification of forest types allows targeted management. For instance, different forest types vary in their sensitivity to the effects of herbivores and respond in different ways to herbivore control. Environmental factors such as soil type, altitude, aspect and slope can moderate the effects of herbivores (Stewart et al. 1987; Rogers & Leathwick 1997; Husheer et al. 2003), and vegetation composition, which is often related to those factors, is also influential (Wardle et al. 1971; Stewart et al. 1987). These interactions probably reflect herbivores' differential use of habitat, and the vegetation's ability to recover from browsing and susceptibility to other forms of disturbance (Rogers & Leathwick 1997). Structural characteristics of forests also influence the recruitment of palatable species independent of browsing impacts (Bellingham & Allan 2003).

In New Zealand, vegetation classification has been carried out at different scales. National-level classifications have been created using information compiled from many surveys (e.g. Newsome 1987) or using computer modelling to predict vegetative communities based on abiotic site characteristics and known species traits (e.g. Hall & McGlone 2006). Local classifications have also been created for sites around New Zealand, using direct measurement of vegetation on systematically located plots or transects. These are used to understand the fundamental drivers of forest composition, to inform conservation management and identify priorities for further research (Clarkson 1986; Leathwick 1990; Stewart et al. 1993; Newell & Leathwick 2005).

1.3 WHANGANUI NATIONAL PARK

Whanganui National Park is in the Matemateaonga Ecological District, on an uplifted terrace of marine sediment (Baxter 1988). Dominant landforms are deeply entrenched waterways, sharp ridges and steep hillslopes (Nicholls 1956). Soils are derived from sedimentary rocks and overlying Taranaki tephra, with rich alluvium on valley floors and terraces, and skeletal soils on steep faces (DOC 1989). The average temperature is approximately 11°C. Rainfall varies from 1600 mm to over 2000 mm per year (Baxter 1988).

Early descriptions of the forest emphasised its homogeneity, but also identified some compositional trends related to topography, which is a determinant of soil depth and drainage (Levy 1923; Nicholls 1956). Levy (1923) summarised the Taranaki and Wanganui hill forests as mixed tawa (*Beilschmiedia tawa*) and kamahi (*Weinmannia racemosa*), with tawa dominating the favourable sites, and

other broadleaves such as rewarewa (*Knightia excelsa*) and hinau (*Elaeocarpus dentata*) widespread but not abundant. Nicholls (1956) made a similar description. Both authors noted that two podocarp species, rimu (*Dacrydium cupressinum*) and miro (*Prumnopitys ferruginea*), were widespread, while Hall's totara (*Podocarpus ballit*) and kahikatea (*Dacrycarpus dacrydiodes*) were found on dry ridges and fertile, poorly drained river terraces, respectively. They also identified black beech (*Nothofagus solandri* var. *solandri*) as limited to narrow ridges, cliff tops and spurs (Levy 1923; Nicholls 1956).

Baxter (1988) made a comprehensive qualitative description of indigenous vegetation in the Ecological District. He identified three vegetation types (beech and mixed beech forest, broadleaved/podocarp forest and broadleaved/kahikatea forest), and suggested that their distribution was determined by underlying rock, soils, drainage and topography, which combined to create a fine-scale mosaic of different forest types on different landforms:

- Beech (mostly *N. solandri* var. *solandri*) forest is confined to the thin, poorly developed soils on weathered sandstone ridges.
- Podocarp (largely kahikatea) dominated forests are present on poorly drained soils on lowland valley floors, river terraces and lake/swamp margins.
- Broadleaved/podocarp forest is the most common type, covering fertile ridges, spurs, hill faces, basins and swales. Within this, Baxter (1988) noted gradients in species composition driven by three factors: altitude, with kamahi and hinau replacing tawa at higher altitudes (e.g. around Mt Humphries); latitude, with tawheowheo (*Quintinia serrata*) becoming more common in the northern part of the park; and soil fertility-drainage, with kahikatea, pukatea (*Laurelia novaezelandiae*) and mahoe more common in shaded areas with moist soils, kamahi, Hall's totara and heketara (*olearia rant*) more common on exposed sites with thin, dry soils, and tawa, northern rata (*Metrosideros robusta*) and rimu likely to dominate on fertile, deeper soils.

Baxter (1998) also described several distinctive communities: cliffs and bluffs; wetlands; and regenerating forest. However, the surveys that form the basis of this report were not designed to sample these geographically limited communities.

Plant lists maintained by the Department of Conservation (DOC), which were last updated in 2006, record 304 indigenous species in the Matemateaonga Ecological District. This relatively low diversity may be partly due to botanical surveys having been limited to small areas accessed in the course of other work, but also reflects the homogeneity of environments within the Ecological District. Several threatened plants, including a five-finger (*Pseudopanax laetus*) and a shrubby daisy (*Brachyglottis turneri*), are present (Baxter 1988; La Cock 2001). Other plants reach a distributional limit in the park, including tanekaha (*Phyllocladus trichomanoides*), tawheowheo, *Lycopodium deuterodensum* and *Dracophyllum strictum* (Baxter 1988).

1.3.1 Introduced herbivore management and outcome monitoring

Brushtail possums and goats are perceived as the primary threats to forest in WNP, and are managed by DOC (DOC 2006). Pigs and red deer (*Cervus elaphus scoticus*) are also present, although the latter are uncommon.

By the 1920s, goats were widespread around Whangamomona (to the west of the Park) (Blaschke 1992; Urlich & Brady 2005). Goats have been hunted in the Mangapurua River Valley, the Whanganui River trench and the Matemateaonga Range at varying levels of intensity since the 1970s (B. Fleury, DOC, pers. comm. 2007). Between 1994 and 2005, operations were limited to the regenerating scrub of the Mangapurua and the river trench. In 2005, goat control began in approximately 10 000 ha between the Matemateaonga Walkway and the Whanganui River. Between 1700 hours and 2000 hours of hunting occurred annually in the first 3 years of this operation, and kill rates were approximately 0.5 kills/hour hunted (R. Smillie, DOC, pers. comm. 2008).

DOC has conducted possum control operations in WNP since 1995 (Mackintosh & Hawcroft 2005). The Park and adjoining conservation land were divided into management blocks of 12000-20000 ha, which were treated with 1080 once every 7 years (Mackintosh & Hawcroft 2005)¹. Canopy condition is assessed by helicopter survey before each 1080 operation, 1 year after the operation and at subsequent 2-3-year intervals, using a modification of the Foliar Browse Index (Payton et al. 1999). Generally, there was an improvement in canopy condition in the first 2 years after control; stabilisation or slight improvement after 4 years; and some decline after 6 years (AH, unpubl. data). However, this aerial monitoring does not provide information about the state of the understorey, tree recruitment or mortality. Parts of the Whanganui forest were included in two long-term projects researching outcomes of possum control. In the first 2 years after possum control, browse scores on common canopy species declined relative to untreated sites, although changes in canopy density were less clear (Nugent, Whitford et al. 2001). Over the longer term, kamahi condition improved in the first 6 years after possum control, but dropped again in the following 2 years, becoming no different to the untreated site (J. Parkes, Landcare Research, pers. comm. 2006).

Blaschke (1992) used cages to study the effects of introduced herbivores on seedling growth in forest remnants near Whangamonona over 6 years. Seedling survival and growth rates were higher in the caged plots, especially for hangehange (*Geniostema rupestre*), koromiko (*Hebe stricta*) and some of the large-leaved coprosmas. The reverse was true for manuka (*Leptospermum scoparium*), rewarewa and heketara. The effect also depended on microtopography, with the greatest increases on flats and greatest decreases on convex slopes (Blaschke 1992). Blaschke did not distinguish between browsing by brushtail possums and ungulates.

There has been little monitoring of goat impacts in the Whanganui forest. Nugent, Fraser et al. (2001) suggested that ungulates are likely to be stronger drivers of forest composition and structure than possums, because of their larger size, more selective browsing and ability to supplement their diet with leaf litter.

¹ The possum control regime for Whanganui National Park was revised in 2008, and the interval between control operations reduced to 2 years in order to benefit avifauna by reducing rodent and mustelid populations.

This research used information from permanent forest plots to relate current vegetation structure and composition to predicted ungulate impacts (reduced densities of palatable species in the browse tier).

1.4 **OBJECTIVES**

This research aimed to:

- Use data from New Zealand Forest Service recce plots measured in summer 1986/87 to identify and describe forest types in WNP
- Investigate correlations between the distribution of forest types and abiotic factors
- Identify which forest types are represented in the permanent forest monitoring plots established by DOC between 2001 and 2006.
- Use data from both New Zealand Forest Service recce plots and DOC permanent plots to summarise the state of the forest in terms of abundances and size class distributions of canopy-forming species, and species that are selected, not selected and avoided by ungulates
- Provide direction for future vegetation monitoring in WNP, with respect to the number of permanent vegetation plots needed, and whether the sample should be stratified by forest type

2. Methods

2.1 NEW ZEALAND FOREST SERVICE RECCE PLOTS

Recce plots are a standard field method for forest classification in New Zealand (Allen 1992), and have been widely used to derive vegetation maps (Leathwick 1990; Newell & Leathwick 2005) and to investigate relationships between forest types and environmental factors (Stewart et al. 1993).

The New Zealand Forest Service made 470 recce descriptions of vegetation in WNP during the summer of 1986/87. This survey was never formally reported and the information collected has not been accessible to conservation managers (B. Fleury, DOC, pers. comm. 2008).

Plots were located at approximately 100-m intervals along 50 randomly placed transects. Good coverage of the Park was achieved (Fig. 2), although there was some concentration around the Matemateaonga Ridge, Whanganui River and Mangapurua Stream, and less coverage in the Heao Stream catchment. In addition, up to two plots were established to the right of each transect. Plots were unbounded and described an area of homogenous vegetation. The recce protocol has been described in detail by Allen (1992). All species of vascular plants growing in mineral soil were identified, and estimates of cover were made for each recce plot in several tiers (mostly > 12 m, 12-5 m, 5-2 m, 2-0.5 m, < 0.5 m), using a six point inverse log scale (typically, 1 = 1%, 2 = 2-5%, 3 = 6-25%, 4 = 26-50%, 5 = 51-75%, 6 = 76-100%; Mueller-Dombois & Ellenberg 1974).

Figure 2. Location of New Zealand Forest Service recce plots measured in summer 1986/87.



2.2 PERMANENT PLOTS

Between February 2001 and March 2006, 31 permanent $20 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m}$ plots were established in and adjacent to forest of WNP. Plots were randomly located within 2-3 km of ten access points, to minimise access costs (Fig. 3). Four fenced exclosure plots were also established, and data from the control plots adjoining those was included in this analysis, increasing the sample size to 35. Fifteen $20 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m}$ plots were established between 2002 and 2005 as part of the Ministry for the Environment's Carbon Monitoring System (Payton et al. 2004). Data from four of these were available at the time of analysis and were also included. Although 22 of the 39 plots are in areas receiving goat control, all represent forest without ungulate management, because data were collected either before or only 1 year after sustained management began.



Figure 3. Location of permanent forest plots and the four Carbon Monitoring System plots established between 2000 and 2006 that were used in the analysis. Permanent $20 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m}$ plot measurement procedures followed Allen (1993). All trees (these were generally stems over 30 mm diameter at breast height; DBH) were identified to species and the diameter over bark at breast height was measured. All saplings (individual plants > 135 cm high but less than 30 mm DBH) were counted and identified to species. Techniques for recording tree ferns were inconsistently applied (e.g. only recorded as seedlings in most plots even when recorded as > 2 m high in recce), so *Dicksonia* and *Cyathea* species were excluded from permanent plot analyses.

Within each plot, 24 understorey subplots (49 cm radius) were systematically located at 5-m intervals. All woody seedlings (15–135 cm high) were identified to species level and counted in four height classes (15–45 cm, 46–75 cm, 76–105 cm, 106–135 cm) in each subplot. For the purpose of analyses, seedling data were pooled into one height class (15–135 cm). Non-woody seedlings (e.g. *Microlaena avenacea* and *Uncinia* spp.) were not counted, making density estimates of those species a minimum based on the assumption that presence in a subplot indicated one plant. Plot location and site data were not routinely recorded for permanent plots.

2.3 DATA ANALYSIS

Extensive checking and correction of the Forest Service recce plot data was carried out as part of this research. Plot size, cover scores and tier heights did not appear to have been consistently applied or recorded, and in some cases field staff recorded cover classes as fractions (e.g. a cover class of 2.5 instead of 2 or 3). Heights specified as the division between tiers varied (e.g. tier 3 was defined as between 7 m and 10 m for some plots, but between 5 m and 12 m for others), so data for each plot were sorted into three broad tiers—seedlings (less than 1-2 m), saplings (between 1-2 m and 5-7 m) and trees (over 5-7 m)—depending on the divisions used at that particular plot. Variability in tiers and cover classes will affect the consistency of cover estimates used in analysis.

At each plot, altitude was estimated to the nearest 10 m, slope was estimated to the nearest 5° using an inclinometer, and aspect was estimated to the nearest 5° using a compass. For many plots, site data did not match vegetation data. In these instances, site data were not included in the plot summary used for analysis.

Summaries of forest composition were made using Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) in CANOCO 4.0 (Ter Braak & Smilauer 1998). Importance values were calculated using tree cover scores from recce plots. Tree tier (>5-7m) cover scores were used to classify vegetation into six types:

- Beech: Plots where beech was present, regardless of other species present.
- Tawa: Plots where tawa had the highest cover score in the tier.
- Kamahi: Plots where kamahi had the highest cover score in the tier.
- Mixed: Plots where tawa and kamahi had the equal highest scores in the tier.

- Seral: Plots where the tree tier was dominated by any species indicative of secondary forest: wineberry (*Aristotelia serrata*), rangiora (*Brachyglottis repanda*), marbleleaf (*Caropdetus serrata*), *Coprosma robusta*, *C. lucida*, tutu (*Coriaria arborescens*), koromiko, hangehange, manuka, mahoe, *Pseudopanax* species, and pate (Levy 1923; Baxter 1988). Although qualitative vegetation descriptions also list 'tree ferns' as indicative of secondary forest, these were not included in the seral category because it was not certain which species were intended.
- Other: Plots where any other species (e.g. pigeonwood *Hedycarya arborea*) had the highest score. A gorse (*Ulex europeus*) dominated plot (33XT) was eliminated from DCA due to its unusual species cover scores.

These vegetation types were plotted to show DCA results.

The same data were used in Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) to determine the effects of altitude, aspect, slope, physiography, latitude and longitude on tree species composition in recce plots. An automatic forward selection procedure was used in CCA using default options in CANOCO. Multiple regression in SYSTAT 10.0 (SPSS 2000) was used to explore the relationship between plot DCA axis 1 and 2 scores, the cover of common species (mean cover scores > 0.25), and plot aspect, altitude, slope and latitude (P=0.15 to include and exclude in an automated forward stepwise procedure). Cover scores of important species in multiple regression (coefficients > 0.1) were then compared to DCA axis 1 and 2 scores using linear regression. Linear relationships for which P>0.05 were graphically displayed (section 3.1).

To assess representation of common species in the two datasets, densities of seedlings, saplings and trees in the permanent $20 \text{ m} \times 20 \text{ m}$ plots were averaged and compared with cover scores for corresponding height tiers from recce data (0.3–2 m, 2–5 m, 6–7 m, and >5, 6 or 7 m). Species known to be epiphytes were deleted from tree tier data. Statistical tests were undertaken in SYSTAT (SPSS 2000).

Power analysis was used to investigate how many permanent plots would be needed to monitor outcomes of ungulate control. SamplePower (Borenstein et al. 2000) was used to find sample sizes required to detect hypothetical increases between 50% and 300% using a one-sample *t*-test based on the mean and standard deviation of current sapling density. The analysis used common species that were predicted to be susceptible to ungulate damage and therefore likely to increase in density as a result of control.

3. Results

A total of 308 plant species were recorded during the 1986/87 survey, of which 271 were indigenous species. This included a threatened species, scarlet mistletoe (*Peraxilla colensoi*), which has not previously been recorded in WNP.

3.1 FOREST TYPES AND ENVIRONMENTAL DRIVERS

DCA of recce tree cover data collected by the New Zealand Forest Service suggests that Whanganui forests are relatively homogenous and cannot be divided into discrete forest types (Fig. 4). There is some evidence for the separation of plots containing beech, but elsewhere a gradient of dominance by tawa and kamahi exists, with neither species being mutually exclusive. Plots with high DCA axis 1 scores tended to contain seral species such as manuka, wineberry and koromiko, but none of those species had high importance values.

Axis 2 of the DCA resembles the pattern described in earlier accounts (Levy 1923; Nicholls 1956; Baxter 1988), where composition shifted from pukatea, kahikatea and mahoe, through tawa with maire (*Nestegis* spp.) and hinau, to kamahi and black beech with increasing distance upslope from waterways to dry ridges (Fig. 5)—although not all of the species mentioned by those authors had high importance values. However, site factors such as altitude, aspect and slope, which all had regression coefficients < 0.01 (Table 1), had little effect on species composition: although significant, their inclusion in the CCA model explained very little of the variance in composition (Table 2). The two tree fern species suggest a relationship between axis 2 and topography/drainage: wheki (*Cyathea smithif*), which favours damp sites (Brownsey & Smith-Dodsworth 2000), is plotted low on







Figure 5. Detrended Correspondence Analysis species scores from tree recce data for species with weights in Detrended Correspondence Analysis > 100.

TABLE 1. STEPWISE MULTIPLE REGRESSIONS OF THE EFFECTS OF DOMINANT SPECIES (> 50 TREE STEMS/ha) AND SITE FACTORS ON RECCE DETRENDED CORRESPONDENCE ANALYSIS AXIS 1 AND 2 SCORES.

EFFECT	REGRESSION COEFFICIENT	SE	Р
Effects of cover scores on DCA axis 1 scores (Rewarewa (<i>Knightia excelsa</i>) P=0.461 excluded)			
Constant	1.489	0.063	< 0.001
Tawa (Beilschmiedia tawa)	-0.193	0.020	< 0.001
Pigeonwood (Hedycarya arborea)	-0.081	0.028	0.004
Mahoe (Melicytus ramiflorus)	-0.100	0.023	< 0.001
Kamahi (Weinmannia racemosa)	-0.077	0.021	< 0.001
Black beech (Nothofagus solandri)	-0.121	0.032	< 0.001
Effects of cover scores on DCA axis 2 scores			
Constant	3.065	0.065	< 0.001
Tawa (Beilschmiedia tawa)	0.053	0.021	0.011
Pigeonwood (Hedycarya arborea)	-0.062	0.029	0.033
Mahoe (Melicytus ramiflorus)	-0.183	0.024	< 0.001
Kamahi (Weinmannia racemosa)	0.194	0.021	< 0.001
Black beech (Nothofagus solandri)	0.464	0.033	< 0.001
Rewarewa (Knightia excelsa)	0.152	0.031	< 0.001
Effects of altitude and latitude on DCA axis 1 score	es		
(Aspect $P = 0.427$ and slope $P = 0.585$ excluded)			
Constant	0.801	0.182	< 0.001
Altitude	-0.001	< 0.001	0.001
Latitude	0.001	< 0.001	0.021
Effects of aspect, slope and latitude on DCA axis a (Altitude <i>P</i> =0.895 excluded)	2 scores		
Constant	3.805	0.200	< 0.001
Aspect	-0.001	< 0.001	0.046
Slope	0.01	0.003	0.003
Latitude	-0.001	< 0.001	0.005

TABLE 2.RESULTS OF CANONICAL CORRESPONDENCE ANALYSIS OF RECCE TREETIER DATA FROM 470 PLOTS MEASURED IN WHANGANUI FORESTS IN 1986/87.

Importance values for each plot were calculated using plot cover scores for the tree tier. Altitude (m a.s.l.), latitude (km), longitude (km), slope (°), physiography and aspect are displayed in order of their inclusion in the forward selection procedure. The variance each factor explains (lambda marginal), additional variance explained at the time each factor was included (lambda conditional) and the significance of the variable at that time (*P*) are shown.

VARIABLE	LAMBDA Marginal	LAMBDA Conditional	F	Р
Altitude	0.08	0.08	3.20	0.005
Latitude	0.06	0.04	1.68	0.060
Physiography	0.06	0.06	2.61	0.005
Longitude	0.05	0.07	2.75	0.005
Slope	0.04	0.05	1.79	0.025
Aspect	0.03	0.02	1.10	0.295

the axis close to mahoe, while silver fern (*C. dealbata*), which occurs on dry, freedraining sites (Brownsey & Smith-Dodsworth 2000), is closer to tawa and hinau.

The occurrence of tawa had an important influence on DCA axis 1 results (Table 1). Linear regression showed that cover scores of tawa predicted 19% of the variation in DCA axis 1 scores (Fig. 6), while cover scores of the next most important species, pigeonwood, mahoe and kamahi, had much less influence on DCA axis 1 scores. Although it had a relatively large regression coefficient and was highly significant in the multiple regression, linear regression found no significant relationship between black beech cover scores and DCA axis 1 scores (P=0.736).

Cover scores of tawa had little influence on DCA axis 2 scores (as shown by the magnitude of the *t*-statistics in Table 1). Similarly, there was no significant difference between DCA axis 2 scores for plots in which tawa was present or absent (Table 3). In contrast, pigeonwood, mahoe and kamahi, which had less effect on DCA axis 1 scores, had much more influence on DCA axis 2 scores, and there were significant differences between DCA axis 2 scores for plots in which these species were present or absent. There were significant relationships between DCA axis 2 scores and cover scores of black beech, mahoe, kamahi and rewarewa (Fig. 7).

Figure 6. The relationship between tawa (*Beilschmiedia tawa*) cover scores and Detrended Correspondence Analysis axis 1 scores. The line of best fit, calculated using linear regression, is shown below the graph.



DCA score = 1.212 - cover score × 0.207 (r^2 = 0.191, t = -10.19, d.f. = 438, P < 0.001)

TABLE 3.	MEAN $(\pm SEM)$	TREE RECCE	DETREND	ED CORR	ESPONDI	ENCE AN	NALYSIS .	AXIS 1	AND 2	SCOR	ES FOR
PLOTS IN Y	WHICH THE FO	UR MOST IMI	PORTANT	CANOPY	SPECIES	WERE P	RESENT	OR AB	SENT, A	ND R	ESULTS
OF t-TESTS	COMPARING 7	THESE SCORE	S.								

	PRESENT	4	ABSENT		t	Р
	MEAN ± SEM	n	MEAN ± SEM	n	(d.f. = 438)	
DCA axis 1						
Tawa (Beilschmiedia tawa)	0.624 ± 0.011	314	1.259 ± 0.089	126	7.071	< 0.001
Pigeonwood (Hedycarya arborea)	0.582 ± 0.014	119	0.888 ± 0.040	321	4.650	< 0.001
Mahoe (Melicytus ramiflorus)	0.649 ± 0.020	203	0.940 ± 0.051	237	4.978	< 0.001
Kamahi (Weinmannia racemosa)	0.719 ± 0.017	288	0.970 ± 0.079	152	4.062	< 0.001
DCA axis 2						
Tawa (Beilschmiedia tawa)	3.430 ± 0.029	314	3.529 ± 0.115	126	1.148	0.252
Pigeonwood (Hedycarya arborea)	3.203 ± 0.036	119	3.553 ± 0.051	321	4.046	< 0.001
Mahoe (Melicytus ramiflorus)	3.106 ± 0.034	203	3.760 ± 0.060	237	9.074	< 0.001
Kamahi (Weinmannia racemosa)	3.666 ± 0.038	288	3.065 ± 0.079	152	7.785	< 0.001



Figure 7. Relationships between A. mahoe (*Melicytus ramiflorus*), B. black beech (*Nothofagus solandrt*), C. kamahi (*Weinmannia racemosa*) and D. rewarewa (*Knightia excelsa*) cover scores and Detrended Correspondence Analysis axis 2 scores. The lines of best fit, calculated using linear regression, are shown below each graph.

3.2 EVIDENCE OF UNGULATE IMPACT

The density of the sapling tier was generally low, and tree species that are preferentially selected by ungulates, such as mahoe and kamahi, had low sapling densities in comparison to seedling and tree densities (Table 4). Tawa and black beech, which are avoided species, also showed this pattern. The relative scarcity of saplings was evident in both the 1986/87 recce dataset and the 2000-2006 permanent plot dataset, although variance was high in the latter. Rewarewa and tawa, avoided species, and northern rata, which recruits ephiphytically, were the most common saplings in the permanent plots. The seedling tier was also dominated by avoided species (hookgrasses, bush rice grass *Microlaena avenacea* and crown fern *Blechnum discolour*), which become more common when ungulate numbers are high.

TABLE 4. MEAN DENSITIES OF SEEDLINGS, SAPLINGS AND TREES (STEMS/ $ha \pm SEM$), RECCE COVER SCORES ($0-6 \pm SEM$) AND BASAL AREAS ($m^2/ha \pm SEM$) FOR SPECIES WITH BASAL AREA $\ge 1 \text{ m}^2$ OR > 500 STEMS/ha AS SEEDLINGS, SAPLINGS OR TREES, OR FOR MEAN RECCE SCORE > 0.25.

Data are from 39 permanent 20 m x 20 m plots (2001–2006) and 470 recce plots (1986/87) established in and near Whanganui National Park. Results of a literature search on the palatability of these species (Nugent et al. 2000; Payton 2000; Forsyth et al. 2002; Husheer et al. 2003) are included. Totals exclude small lianes such as *Metrosideros diffusa*, as individuals of these species are difficult to count. '-' = not recorded.

SPECIES	PERMANENT PI	LOT SUMMARIES	(2001-2006)	RECCE PLC	DT SUMMARIES (19)86/87)	BASAL AREA	PALATABILITY
	SEEDLINGS	SAPLINGS	TREES	SEEDLINGS	SAPLINGS	TREES		
Trees								
Kamahi (<i>Weinmannia racemosa</i>)	5275 ± 253	4 ± 4	272 ± 52	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.3 \pm < 0.1$	2 ± 1.3	18 ± 3.6	Selected
Mahoe (Melicytus ramiflorus)	128 ± 43	1 ± 1	205 ± 48	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.4\pm < 0.1$	1 ± 1.1	5.6 ± 1.5	Selected
Hinau (Elaeocarpus dentatus)	43 ± 24	1 ± 1	12 ± 5	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	0 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 0.5	Not selected
Miro (Prumnopitys ferruginea)	57 ± 34	2 ± 2	11 ± 4	0.0 ± 0.0	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	0 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.5	Not selected
Northern rata (Metrosideros robusta)	$< 1 \pm 0$	79 ± 38	$< 1 \pm 0$	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	0 ± 0.5	2 ± 1.9	Not selected
Pigeonwood (Hedycarya arborea)	299 ± 112	4 ± 2	53 ± 14	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	$0.3 \pm < 0.1$	0 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 1.0	Not selected
White maire (Nestegis lanceolata)	100 ± 70	8 ± 4	8±6	I	ı	ı	2.6 ± 2.4	Not selected
Pukatea (Laurelia novaezelandiae)	$< 1 \pm 0$	$< 1 \pm 0$	5±3	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	$< 0.1 \pm 0.0$	0 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 1.7	Avoided
Rewarewa (Knightia excelsa)	584 ± 187	208 ± 105	118 ± 43	0.1 ± 0.0	$0.2 \pm < 0.1$	1 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 1.2	Avoided
Tawa (Beilschmiedia tawa)	427 ± 191	62 ± 16	160 ± 39	0.4 ± 0.1	$0.5 \pm < 0.1$	2 ± 1.4	7.6 ± 1.7	Avoided
Lianes								
Supplejack (<i>Ripogonum scandens</i>)	456±242	239±77		0.1 ± 0.0	$0.2\pm < 0.1$			Selected
Groundcover plants								
Hookgrass (Uncinia spp.)	2579 ± 679			$0.2 \pm < 0.1$				Avoided
Bush rice grass (Microlaena avenacea)	1909 ± 991			$0.3 \pm < 0.1$				Avoided
Crown fern (Blechnum discolor)	1595 ± 474			0.6 ± 0.1				Avoided
Kiwakiwa (Blechnum fluviatile)	513 ± 234			0.1 ± 0.0				Avoided
All species	14918 ± 2348	699±168	1046 ± 97			8.6±4.7	51.8 ± 6.0	

3.3 ADEQUANCY OF THE PERMANENT PLOT NETWORK

Summaries of cover scores from 470 recce plots suggest that tawa is 20% more dominant in Whanganui forests than kamahi, although standard errors of these estimates are high (Table 4). In contrast, basal area summaries from 39 permanent plots suggest that kamahi has twice the dominance of tawa. White maire (*Nestegis lanceolata*) and northern rata are less common in permanent plots than in recce plots, while mahoe and pigeonwood may be over-represented in permanent plots.

Results of power analysis investigating the number of permanent plots needed to accurately identify changes resulting from ungulate control are shown in Table 5. Relatively few plots are needed to detect an increase in the overall density of saplings (e.g. 71 plots to detect a 50% increase), but many more are needed to detect changes in the density of saplings of individual species, especially those which have a lower initial density (e.g. over 1000 plots to detect a 50% increase in kamahi, beech or hinau). Fewer plots are required to detect larger changes (e.g. less than 100 plots are required to detect a 200% increase in most common species).

TABLE 5. NUMBER OF PLOTS REQUIRED TO DETECT VARIOUS MAGNITUDES OF INCREASE IN SAPLING DENSITY USING A ONE-SAMPLE *t*-TEST (TWO-TAILED, ALPHA = 0.05, POWER = 0.8).

SPECIES	50%	75%	100%	125%	150%	200%	300%
Tawa (Beilschmiedia tawa)	78	35	20	15			
Hinau (<i>Elaeocarpus dentatus</i>)	1396	623	349	226	156	88	39
Pigeonwood (Hedycarya arborea)	251	114	63	41	28	16	
Mahoe (Melicytus ramiflorus)	583	261	146	96	65	37	19
White maire (Nestegis lanceolata)	317	141	80	51	36	20	11
Black beech (Nothofagus solandri)	1018	455	255	165	114	64	29
Kamahi (Weinmannia racemosa)	1253	555	314	203	140	79	35
All species	71	32	18	14	10		

4. Discussion

4.1 FOREST CLASSIFICATION IN WHANGANUI NATIONAL PARK

There were only small differences in composition of the tree tier across WNP. Most species were found to be widespread throughout the Park. Although there is some evidence for separation of beech ridges and seral vegetation, the majority of recce plots were dominated by tawa and kamahi.

There were no strong relationships between forest composition and environmental variables. This may reflect limitations of the site description data. Studies that have identified such relationships have used more detailed landform classification systems (Burns & Leathwick 1996) and soil cores (Stewart et al. 1993). Qualitative descriptions of Whanganui forest (e.g. Baxter 1988) refer to hill slope position, drainage and whether soils are eroding or accumulating. These are not captured by the recce measures of slope and altitude. Some patterns may also have been obscured as a result of the plots being unbounded and subjective decisions being made about boundaries of landforms and vegetation types in the field.

Altitude is an important driver of vegetation composition across the New Zealand landscape (Burns & Leathwick 1996; Ogden 1997), and has been closely related to species turnover in time and space at other North Island sites (Husheer 2005, 2006). This was not observed at Whanganui, probably because the overall variation in altitude is low (mean \pm SEM = 353 \pm 118 m a.s.l., range = 30–525). There was also no relationship between the main DCA axes and latitude, despite some species, such as tawheowheo, being more common to the north. This is probably because these species are never very abundant and so do not greatly influence DCA.

4.2 EFFECTS OF INTRODUCED UNGULATES

The low abundances of seedlings, saplings and trees of common species (each assigned to a palatability class; Table 4) cannot be directly attributed to ungulate impact, but do reflect the anticipated effect of an uncontrolled goat population (as summarised in section 1.1). Findings from early studies (Levy 1923; Nicholls 1956) suggest that those species were more common as seedlings and saplings in the past. Groundcover composition also suggests ungulate impact. However, there is currently little information about the composition of the groundcover in Whanganui forests in the absence of ungulates, which may happen to be naturally dominated by avoided species.

The 'browse tier' in WNP is more open than in other North Island forests. Total sapling density in WNP (699 saplings/ha) is lower than that of karamu, pigeonwood, hangehange or pate alone in Egmont National Park, where there has been effective goat control (Husheer 2006). It is also lower than sapling density in the northern part of Pureora Forest Park in 1985/86 (2560 stems/ha; Clegg 1987). Densities of tawa seedlings and saplings (467 and 62 plants/ha, respectively) were much lower than the 1000/ha that has been suggested as 'probably adequate' for regeneration of that species in Te Urewera National Park (Smale 2006).

4.3 FUTURE VEGETATION MONITORING

The main aim of the WNP monitoring programme is to provide advice to managers about the effects of wild animal control operations. The programme will also provide information about long-term changes to forest structure and composition. Permanent plots are the best tool for long-term forest monitoring (Allen et al. 2003).

The fourth research objective, which aimed to identify which forest types are represented in the permanent plots established from 2001 to 2006, anticipated that different forest communities would be mapped and the plot network then stratified to ensure that all communities were sampled with less variance in each sample. However, the results show that WNP forest is homogenous, providing no justification for stratification.

Comparison of the abundance of common species in the two datasets suggests that the current permanent plot network may not be representative of Whanganui forests, as recce plots provided a much larger sample and were systematically located to give a representative sample (B. Fleury, pers. comm. 2007), whereas permanent plot locations have been biased by their proximity to access points and their avoidance of very steep slopes. Permanent plots also sample a larger area, including the Waitotara Conservation Area (CA) as well as WNP. A random allocation of points is the most reliable way of obtaining a representative sample (Griffiths et al. 1998).

Other plot networks used to measure herbivore impacts in North Island forests have ranged from 12 at Hihitahi Forest Sanctuary near Taihape (Mountier et al. 1984), to 47 in Egmont National Park (Husheer 2006) and 57 in northern Pureora (Clegg 1987), to over 200 in Tararua Forest Park (Husheer 2005).

The number of permanent plots required for vegetation monitoring depends on the magnitude of the change that needs to be detected: the smaller the change, the larger the number required (Griffiths et al. 1998). The magnitude of change that could be detected by a given number of plots depends on the initial density of the species considered. For instance, 40 plots would detect a 75% change in tawa density, but only a 300% increase in kamahi. Thirty plots should be sufficient to detect a doubling of tawa and of total sapling density, but more would be needed to detect a doubling in density of any less common or more variable species. Given the sapling densities that have been recorded in forests with successful ungulate management, changes of this magnitude are possible in the longer term.

When plots are remeasured, the sampling design at WNP will allow comparison of treated and untreated blocks before and after control. This will result in a greater ability to detect differences than the simple comparison simulated in the power analyses allows (Brown & Manly 2001), as will the comparison of repeated measures from the same plots (Legg & Nagy 2006). At present, there are 20 plots and five exclosures in areas receiving goat control, and 18² outside it (excluding CMS plots), of which ten are in the Waitotara CA.

² One exclosure and seven unfenced plots were established in summer 2007/08 and were not included in this analysis.

Until 2008, funding has allowed for the measurement of approximately ten permanent plots per year in Whanganui forests. This has resulted in the gradual accumulation of a plot network over nearly 10 years and has provided some useful information. A measurement scenario whereby all new plots are established over one field season, existing plots are remeasured the following season, and the entire sample is remeasured after 10 years would allow more accurate quantification of rates of change over the entire site (J. Hurst, Landcare Research, pers. comm. 2007).

5. Conclusions

The forests of WNP are a homogenous mixture of tawa and kamahi, with occasional distinctive communities dominated by beech or seral species. The scarcity of saplings, particularly of palatable species, suggests that the forest continues to be damaged by ungulates.

There are no grounds for stratified sampling of different forest types. High variance at the local scale means that the permanent plot network should be increased to provide better information about forest structure and composition, and the effects of ungulate management.

6. Recommendations

Based on the findings from this study, the authors make the following recommendations:

- Animal control operations in WNP and adjoining forests should continue. The area under sustained ungulate control should be extended to allow sapling and tree recruitment.
- The sample of permanent plots should be increased to at least 60 plots (including seven exclosures): 20 in the Matemateaonga goat management area (15 plots and five exclosures), ten in the Mangapurua goat management area (eight plots and two exclosures) and 30 outside the goat control areas (spread across WNP and Waitotara CA). If resources permit, the sample should be increased to 70 plots: 30 in the management areas as above, 20 in parts of WNP outside the goat control area and 20 in Waitotara CA. If additional resources are available, priority should first be given to reducing the number of years over which plots are measured, followed by further increasing the sample size.

- Fenced exclosures are the best available tool to measure ungulate impact in the medium term (Coomes et al. 2003). The existing five plots in the Matemateaonga goat management area should be supplemented by two more in the Mangapurua goat management area and, if possible, one in the river trench goat management corridor. Exclosures will continue to be subjectively sited according to access and fencing constraints. A common technique for determining the effects of ungulates is comparison of a small, subjectively sited set of exclosures with a larger, representative set of unfenced plots (Bellingham & Allen 2003). This should be adopted in WNP.
- In the short term, representative information about the outcomes of wild animal control should also be obtained using rapid assessment methods such as the Seedling Ratio Index, a technique developed to provide an efficient measure of ungulate impact on forest (Sweetapple & Nugent 2004), and FBI to quantify possum impact (Payton et al. 1999). These do not have the same location constraints as exclosure plots.

7. Acknowledgements

Thanks to staff from the New Zealand Forest Service and DOC involved in data collection, to Maheswaran Rohan for advice about power analysis, and to Bill Fleury, Brendon Christenson and Kate McNutt for comments on drafts of this report. Figures 1–3 were produced by Sue Jones.

8. References

- Allen, R.B. 1992: RECCE: an inventory method for describing New Zealand vegetation. *FRI Bulletin 181*. Forest Research Institute, Christchurch. 25 p.
- Allen, R.B. 1993: A permanent plot method for monitoring changes in indigenous forests. Manaaki Whenua-Landcare Research, Lincoln. 35 p.
- Allen, R.B.; Bellingham, P.J.; Wiser, S.K. 2003: Forest biodiversity assessment for reporting conservation performance. *Science for Conservation 216*. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 49 p.
- Allen, R.B.; Payton, I.J.; Knowlton, J.E. 1984: Effects of ungulates on the structure and species composition in the Urewera forests as shown by exclosures. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 7: 119–130.
- Augustine, D.J.; McNaughton, S.J. 1998: Ungulate effects on the functional species composition of plant communities: herbivore selectivity and plant tolerance. *Journal of Wildlife Management 62*: 1165-1183.
- Baxter, W. 1988: Matemateaonga Ecological District: Phase 1 Protected Natural Areas Programme report—an inventory of known information. PNA report. Department of Conservation, Wanganui (unpublished). 146 p.

- Bellingham, P.J.; Allan, C.N. 2003: Forest regeneration and the influences of white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) in cool temperate New Zealand rain forests. Forest Ecology and Management 175: 71-86.
- Blaschke, P. 1992: Measuring the impact of goats and possums on vegetation composition, growth and regeneration, Matemateanga Ecological Region, from exclosure plot data. DSIR contract report. DSIR, Lower Hutt (unpublished). 42 p.
- Bockett, F.K. 1997: Ungulate effects on tawa (*Beilschmiedia tawa*) forest in Urewera National Park. *Conservation Advisory Science Notes 196.* Department of Conservation, Wellington. 28 p.
- Borenstein, M.; Rothstein, H.; Cohen, J.; Schoenfield, D.; Berlin, J. 2000: SamplePower 2.0. SPSS Inc., Chicago.
- Brown, J.; Manly, B.F.J. 2001: Statistics Workshop notes. *Science & Research Internal Report 187*. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 312 p.
- Brownsey, P.J.; Smith-Dodsworth, J.C. 2000: New Zealand ferns and allied plants. David Bateman Ltd, Auckland. 168 p.
- Buddenhagen, C.E.; Ogden, J. 2003: Growth and survival of *Dysoxylum spectabile* (Meliaceae) seedlings in canopy gaps. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 41: 197–183.
- Burns, B.R.; Leathwick, J.R. 1996: Vegetation-environment relationships at Waipoua Forest, Northland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 34: 79–92.
- Clarkson, B.D. 1986: Vegetation of Egmont National Park, New Zealand. DSIR Science Information Publishing Centre, Wellington. 95 p.
- Clegg, S. 1987: Resurvey of the forests of Pureora North Block (seedlings and saplings) 1985/86. Department of Conservation, Auckland (unpublished). 18 p.
- Coomes, D.A.; Allen, R.B.; Forsyth, D.M.; Lee, W.G. 2003: Factors preventing the recovery of New Zealand forests following control of invasive deer. *Conservation Biology* 17: 450-459.
- Cowan, P.E. 1990: Brushtail possum. Pp. 68-98 in King, C.M. (Ed.): Handbook of New Zealand mammals. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Cowan, P.E. 1991: Effects of introduced Australian brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) on the fruiting of the endemic New Zealand nikau palm (*Rhopalostylis sapida*). *New Zealand Journal of Botany 29*: 91–93.
- Cowan, P.E.; Waddington, D.C. 1990: Suppression of fruit production of the endemic forest tree, *Elaeocarpus dentatus*, by introduced marsupial brushtail possums, *Trichosurus vulpelca*. *New Zealand Journal of Botany 28*: 217–224.
- DOC (Department of Conservation) 1989: Whanganui National Park Management Plan. Department of Conservation, Wanganui. 80 p.
- DOC (Department of Conservation) 2006: Whanganui National Park Draft Management Plan 2006-2016. Department of Conservation, Wanganui. 126 p.
- Dungan, R.J.; O'Cain, M.J.; Lopez, M.L.; Norton, D.A. 2002: Contribution by possums to seed rain and subsequent seed germination in successional vegetation, Canterbury, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology 26*: 121–128.
- Fitzgerald, B.M.; Gibb, J.A. 2001: Introduced mammals in a New Zealand forest: long term research in the Orongorongo Valley. *Biological Conservation 99*: 97–108.
- Forsyth, D.M.; Coomes, D.A.; Nugent, G.; Hall, G.M.J. 2002: Diet and diet preferences of introduced ungulates (Order: Artiodactyla) in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology 29*: 323-343.
- Fraser, K.W.; Cone, J.M.; Whitford, E.J. 2000: A revision of the established ranges and new populations of 11 introduced ungulate species. *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 30*: 419-437.
- Griffiths, D.; Stirling, W.D.; Weldon, K.L. 1998: Understanding data: principles and practice of statistics. Jacaranda Wiley Ltd, Milton, Queensland. 398 p.

- Hall, G.J.; McGlone, M. 2006: Potential forest cover of New Zealand as determined by an ecosystem process model. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 44: 211–232.
- Husheer, S. 2005: Vegetation monitoring, Tararua Forest Park, New Zealand, 1958–85. *DOC Research and Development Series 212*. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 42 p.
- Husheer, S. 2006: Changes to the forests of Egmont National Park 1977-2001. *DOC Research and Development Series 257*. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 24 p.
- Husheer, S. 2007: Introduced red deer reduce tree regeneration in Pureora Forest, central North Island, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology 31*: 79-87.
- Husheer, S.; Coomes, D.A.; Robertson, A.W. 2003: Long-term influences of introduced deer on the composition and structure of New Zealand Nothofagus forests. Forest Ecology and Management 181: 99–117.
- Jane, G.T.; Pracy, L.T. 1974: Observations on two animal exclosures in Haurangi Forest over a period of twenty years (1951-1971). *New Zealand Journal of Forestry 9*: 102-113.
- La Cock, G. 2001: Threatened Plant Strategy: Wanganui Conservancy. Department of Conservation, Wanganui. 52 p.
- Leathwick, J.R. 1990: Vegetation map of the Pureora Mountain Ecological Area, North Island, New Zealand. *FRI Bulletin 157*. Forest Research Institute, Rotorua. 16 p.
- Leathwick, J.R. 2001: New Zealand's potential forest pattern as predicted from current speciesenvironment relationships. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 39: 447-464.
- Legg, C.; Nagy, L. 2006: Why most conservation monitoring is, but need not be, a waste of time. *Journal of Environmental Management* 78: 194–199.
- Levy, E.B. 1923: The grasslands of New Zealand. Series II. The Taranaki back country. *New Zealand Journal of Agriculture 27*: 138-156.
- Mackintosh, A.; Hawcroft, A. 2005: Wanganui Conservancy wild animal control report: 2002–03 and 2003–04. Department of Conservation, Wanganui. 168 p.
- Mountier, C.; Hurst, H.; Clark, C.; Barker, J. 1984: Hihitahi State Forest 69: survey report summer 1983-4. New Zealand Forest Service, Palmerston North (unpublished). 12 p.
- Mueller-Dombois, D.; Ellenberg, H.A. 1974: Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 547 p.
- Newell, C.L.; Leathwick, J.R. 2005: Mapping Hurunui forest community distribution using computer models. *Science for Conservation 251*. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 43 p.
- Newsome, P.F.J. 1987: The vegetative cover of New Zealand. *Water and Soil Miscellaneous Publication No. 112.* Ministry of Works and Development, Palmerston North. 153 p.
- Nicholls, J.L. 1956: The historical ecology of the indigenous forest of the Taranaki upland. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry* 7: 17–34.
- Nugent, G.; Fraser, W.; Sweetapple, P. 2001: Top down or bottom up? Comparing the impacts of introduced arboreal possums and 'terrestrial' ruminants on native forests in New Zealand. *Biological Conservation 99*: 65–79.
- Nugent, G.; Sweetapple, P.; Coleman, J.; Suisted, P. 2000: Possum feeding patterns: dietary tactics of a reluctant folivore. Pp. 10–19 in Montague, T.L. (Ed.): The brushtail possum: biology, impact and management of an introduced marsupial. Manaaki Whenua Press, Lincoln.
- Nugent, G.; Whitford, J.; Sweetapple, P. 2001: Intermittent possum control: how long before next time? II. Short-term (2-year) responses in possum density and canopy cover. Landcare Research contract report. Landcare Research, Lincoln (unpublished). 38 p.
- Ogden, J. 1997: Biogeography and forest biodiversity in New Zealand. *New Zealand Forestry 42*: 8-14.
- Owen, H.J.; Norton, D.A. 1995: The diet of introduced brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) in a low-diversity New Zealand *Nothofagus* forest and possible implications for conservation management. *Biological Conservation* 71: 339-345.

- Payton, I.J. 2000: Damage to native forests. Pp. 111-125 in Montague, T.L. (Ed.): The brushtail possum: biology, impact and management of an introduced marsupial. Manaaki Whenua Press, Lincoln.
- Payton, I.J.; Newell, C.L.; Beets, P.N. 2004: New Zealand Carbon Monitoring System indigenous forest and shrubland data collection manual. Manaaki Whenua-Landcare Research and Forest Research, Wellington. 68 p.
- Payton, I.J.; Pekelharing, C.J.; Frampton, C.M. 1999: Foliar Browse Index: a method for monitoring possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) damage to plant species and forest communities. Manaaki Whenua - Landcare Research, Lincoln. 62 p.
- Rogers, G.M.; Leathwick, J.R. 1997: Factors predisposing forests to canopy collapse in the Southern Ruahine Range, New Zealand. *Biological Conservation 80*: 325–338.
- Rose, A.B.; Pekelharing, C.J.; Platt, K.H. 1992: Magnitude of canopy dieback and implications for conservation of southern rata-kamahi (*Metrosideros umbellata-Weinmannia racemosa*) forests, central Westland, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 16: 23–32.
- Rose, A.B.; Pekelharing, C.J.; Platt, K.H.; Woolmore, C.B. 1993: Impact of invading brushtail possum populations on mixed-beech broadleaved forests, South Westland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 17: 19–28.
- Smale, M.C. 2006: Deer impacts on tawa regeneration. Landcare Research contract report. Landcare Research, Hamilton (unpublished). 17 p.
- Smale, M.C.; Hall, G.M.J.; Gardner, R.O. 1995: Dynamics of kanuka (Kunzea ericoides) forest on South Kaipara Spit, New Zealand, and the impact of fallow deer. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 19: 131-141.
- SPSS 2000: SYSTAT 10.0. SPSS Inc., Chicago.
- Stewart, G.; Basher, L.R.; Burrows, L.; Runkle, J.R.; Hall, G.J.; Jackson, R.J. 1993: Beech-hardwood forest composition, landforms and soil relationships, north Westland, New Zealand. *Vegetatio 106*: 111-125.
- Stewart, G.H.; Wardle, D.A.; Burrows, L.E. 1987: Forest understorey changes after reduction in deer numbers, northern Fiordland, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 10: 35-42.
- Sweetapple, P.; Nugent, G. 2004: Seedling ratios: a simple method for assessing ungulate impacts on forest understories. *Wildlife Society Bulletin 32*: 137–147.
- Sweetapple, P.J.; Burns, B.R. 2002: Assessing the response of forest understoreys to feral goat control with and without possum control. *Science for Conservation 201*. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 33 p.
- Ter Braak, C.J.E.; Smilauer, P. 1998: CANOCO 4.0. Centre of Biometry, Wageningen.
- Urlich, S.; Brady, P.J. 2005: Benefits of aerial 1080 possum control to tree fuchsia in the Tararua Range. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology 29*: 299–309.
- Walls, G. 1998: Mid Pohangina Valley exclosure plots March 1997. Conservation Advisory Science Notes 179. Department of Conservation, Wellington. 7 p.
- Wardle, D.A.; Barker, G.M.; Yeates, G.W.; Bonner, K.I.; Ghani, A. 2001: Introduced browsing mammals in New Zealand natural forests: aboveground and belowground consequences. *Ecological Monographs* 71: 587-614.
- Wardle, D.A.; Bonner, K.I.; Barker, G.M. 2002: Linkages between plant litter decomposition, litter quality and vegetation responses to herbivores. *Functional Ecology* 16: 585–595.
- Wardle, J.; Hayward, J.; Herbert, J. 1971: Influence of ungulates on the forests and scrublands of South Westland. New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science 3: 3-36.
- Wilson, D.J.; Lee, W.G.; Webster, R.A.; Allen, R.B. 2003: Effects of possums and rats on seedling establishment at two forest sites in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology 27*: 147-155.

DOC Research & Development Series

DOC Research & Development Series *is a published record of scientific research carried out, or advice given, by Department of Conservation staff or external contractors funded by DOC. It comprises reports and short communications that are peerreviewed.*

Individual contributions to the series are first released on the departmental website in pdf form. Hardcopy is printed, bound, and distributed at regular intervals. Titles are also listed in the DOC Science Publishing catalogue on the website, refer <u>www.doc.govt.nz</u> under Publications, then Science & technical.